arXiv:1402.4719v1 [math.AT] 19 Feb 2014

WALDHAUSEN K-THEORY OF SPACES VIA COMODULES

KATHRYN HESS AND BROOKE SHIPLEY

Abstract. Applying a recent existence result for left-induced model category structures from [3], we establish a model structure on $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodule spectra, $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, with stable equivalences created by the forgetful functor to symmetric spectra. We use this to show that there is a natural weak equivalence between the usual Waldhausen K-theory of X, $A(X)$, and $K\big(\mathsf{Comod}^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}\big)$ when X is simply connected. The key here is a Quillen equivalence between homologically-local model category structures on comodule-spaces over X_+ and on retractive spaces over X.

For H a simplicial monoid, $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ admits a monoidal structure and induces a model structure on the category $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebras. This provides a setting for defining *homotopy coinvariants* of the coaction of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$ on a $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebra, which is essential for homotopic Hopf-Galois extensions of ring spectra as originally defined by Rognes [18] and generalized in [9]. An algebraic analogue of this was only recently developed, and then only over a field [3].

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Model category structures for comodules 2
1.2. From comodules to Waldhausen K-theory 4
1.3. Structure of this article 5
1.4. Remarks on the genesis of this article 6
1.5. Notation and conventions 6
1.6. Acknowledgements 7
2. Comodules and retractive spaces 7
2.1. X_+-comodules in sSet_* 7
2.2. Retractive spaces over X 9
3. The adjunction theorem 12
3.1. From comodules to retractive spaces 13
3.2. From retractive spaces to comodules 14
3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1 and of Corollary 3.4 15
4. Model category structures on Comod_{X_+} 17

Date: February 20, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55U35; Secondary: 16T15, 18C15, 19D10, 16T15, 55P42, 55P43.

Key words and phrases. Retractive space, comonad, model category, Waldhausen K-theory, stabilization.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0932078000 while the authors were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2014 semester, and the second author was supported during this project by the NSF under Grant No. 1104396.

4.1. The $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structure on Comod_{X_+}: proof by left-induction 20
4.2. The $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structure on Comod_{X_+}: proof by right-induction 21
4.3. Koszul duality 23
5. Model category structures on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ 25
5.1. The stabilization machine 26
5.2. Stabilization of Comod_{X_+} 29
Appendix A. Left-induced model category structures 32
References 35

1. Introduction

In [4], Blumberg and Mandell provided a description of the Waldhausen K-theory spectrum $A(X)$ of a simply connected simplicial set X in terms of modules over DX, the Spanier-Whitehead dual of X. They proved that $A(X)$ is weakly equivalent to the Waldhausen K-theory of the subcategory of the model category of DX-modules that are isomorphic in the derived category of DX to objects in the thick subcategory generated by the sphere spectrum S.

In this paper we establish a sort of Koszul dual to this result, providing a description for any simplicial set X and any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$ of the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local Waldhausen K-theory $A(X, \mathcal{E}_*)$ in terms of comodules over X_+, the pointed simplicial set obtained by adding a disjoint basepoint to X. Advantages to this new presentation of the Waldhausen K-theory of a space include that we do not have to dualize the simplicial set X and that X does not have to be simply connected, or even connected. For simply connected X, $A(X) \cong A(X, \mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*)$, so we can also describe the usual Waldhausen K-theory of X in terms of unstable or stable comodules over X_+, that is, as comodules over X_+ in pointed simplicial sets or as comodules over the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ in symmetric spectra.

We make explicit the Koszul duality between our framework and that of Blumberg and Mandell, establishing a Quillen equivalence for any reduced simplicial set X between $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, the model category of comodules in symmetric spectra over the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$, and $\mathsf{Mod}_{\Sigma^\infty(\Omega X)_+}$, the model category of modules in symmetric spectra over the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty(\Omega X)_+$ of based loops on X.

1.1. **Model category structures for comodules.** For any simplicial set X, let R_X denote the category of retractive spaces over X, the objects of which are pairs of simplicial maps $(i : X \to Z, r : Z \to X)$ such that $ri = \mathrm{Id}_X$; the morphisms are simplicial maps commuting with the inclusion and retraction maps. It is easy to see that R_X admits a model category structure in which the fibrations, cofibrations and weak equivalences are all created in the underlying category of simplicial sets endowed with its usual Kan model category structure. For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, this model category structure on R_X can be localized, giving rise to a model category structure with the same cofibrations, while the weak equivalences are $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences, i.e., simplicial maps inducing isomorphisms in $\mathcal{E}_*$-homology, with respect to any choice of basepoint.

Let Comod_{X_+} denote the category of pointed X_+-comodules with respect to the smash product of pointed simplicial sets, i.e., objects of Comod_{X_+} are pairs (Y, ρ),

where Y is a pointed simplicial set, and $\rho : Y \to Y \wedge X_+$ is a coassociative and counital map of pointed simplicial sets.

The heart of this article is the construction of a framework in which to study the homotopy theory of pointed X_+-comodules.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorems 3.1 and 4.1)**.** *Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be a generalized reduced homology theory. There exists an adjunction*

$$\mathsf{R}_X \underset{-\star X}{\overset{-/X}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$$

and an $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, with respect to which the adjunction is a Quillen equivalence, when R_X is endowed with its $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure.

We show that the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, denoted $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$, is left proper, simplicial and cofibrantly generated, and that if (X, x_0, μ) is a simplicial monoid, then the usual smash product of pointed simplicial sets lifts to $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$, giving rise to a monoidal model category structure (Theorems 4.7 and 4.9). Moreover, for X any reduced simplicial set, we construct a Quillen equivalence between $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\mathsf{Mod}_{\Omega X})_{\mathcal{E}}$, the category of pointed ΩX-spaces endowed with the model category structure right-induced from $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$, realizing the Koszul duality between these homotopy theories (Theorem 4.10).

We do not know whether Comod_{X_+} admits a model category structure left-induced from $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, the usual Kan model category structure on sSet_*, as our methods of proof clearly do not apply when the weak equivalences are weak homotopy equivalences. Indeed, it is crucial to our arguments that a cofiber sequence of simplicial sets induces a long exact sequence in $\mathcal{E}_*$-homology.

Thanks to all of the good properties satisfied by $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$, we can apply Hovey's stabilization machine [13], obtaining a stable model category structure on the category $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ of $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodules in the category Sp of symmetric spectra [14].

Theorem 1.2 (Theorems 5.2 and 5.4)**.** *Let X be a simplicial set. There is a cofibrantly generated, left proper spectral model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, stablizing $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$ and Quillen equivalent to the usual stable model category $\mathsf{Mod}_{\Sigma^\infty(\Omega X)_+}$ of $\Sigma^\infty(\Omega X)_+$-modules, such that both the weak equivalences and the cofibrations are created in the stable model category structure on Sp. Moreover, if X is a simplicial monoid, then $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ admits a monoidal structure with respect to which it is a monoidal model category satisfying the monoid axiom.*

We establish moreover that the model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ mentioned in Theorem 1.2 is independent of the generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, as long as every levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of symmetric spectra is a stable equivalence.

Let $\Sigma^\infty H_+$ be the suspension spectrum of a simplicial monoid H. As an immediate consequence of the theorem above, we obtain an interesting model category structure on the category $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebras, i.e., ring spectra $\mathbf{R}$ endowed with a coaction $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} \wedge \Sigma^\infty X_+$, which is a coassociative, counital morphism of ring spectra (Corollary 5.6). It is therefore possible now to formulate rigorously a notion of the object of *homotopy coinvariants* of the coaction of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$

on a $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebra, which is an essential element of the definition of a homotopic Hopf-Galois extension of ring spectra, as originally formulated in [18] and generalized in [9]. It is only recently, and then only over a field, that homotopy coinvariants for comodule algebras have been rigorously defined in the chain complex context [3, Theorem 3.8]; such a rigorous formulation was otherwise known only for monoidal model catetgores where the monoidal product is the categorical product.

1.2. **From comodules to Waldhausen K-theory.** Recall that $A(X)$ is defined to be the K-theory spectrum of the Waldhausen category the objects of which are retractive spaces $(i : X \to Z, r : Z \to X)$ such that Z/X is homotopically finite, i.e., weakly equivalent to a simplicial set with finitely many nondegenerate simplices, and with cofibrations and weak equivalences created in the underlying category of simplicial sets [22]. For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, let $A(X;\mathcal{E}_*)$ denote the Waldhausen K-theory of the same category with the same cofibrations, but replacing the usual weak equivalences of simplicial sets by $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences. Let $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the category of homotopically finite comodules over X_+, with cofibrations and weak equivalences inherited from the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on Comod_{X_+}.

Applying [8, Corollary 3.9] and Lemma 3.16 to the Quillen equivalence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following description of $A(X;\mathcal{E}_*)$ in terms of comodules over X_+, where the naturality follows from the last part of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 1.3. *For any simplicial set X and any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}_*}$ is a Waldhausen category, and there is a natural weak equivalence of K-theory spectra*

$$A(X;\mathcal{E}_*) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}}\big).$$

As Waldhausen K-theory is (up to sign) a stable invariant, Theorem 1.3 implies that if X is simply connected, then $A(X)$ itself also can be described in terms of comodules over X_+. In fact, $A(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} A(X, \mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*)$ is a weak equivalence for X simply connected, by Lemma 2.17.

Corollary 1.4. *If X is a simply connected simplicial set, then there is a natural weak equivalence of K-theory spectra*

$$A(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}}\big).$$

A similar argument to that above now allows us to establish another model for $A(X)$, which we expect to be more useful than the unstable model, as it should be possible to exhibit interesting structures on $A(X)$, such as the assembly map and the involution, explicitly in terms of this comodule model.

Corollary 1.5. *If X is a simply connected simplicial set, then there is a natural weak equivalence of K-theory spectra*

$$A(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}\big),$$

where $(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}$ denotes the category of homotopically finite comodules over $\Sigma^\infty X_+$, with cofibrations and weak equivalences inherited from the model structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ of Theorem 1.2.

As an immediate consequence of [4, Corollary 2.8], we can now show that the Waldhausen K-theory of a simplicial monoid admits a natural, highly structured multiplicative structure, since

$$- \otimes - : (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}} \to (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

is a biexact functor of Waldhausen categories. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the following symmetric operad enriched in small categories. In arity 1 it is the category with exactly one object and one morphism. If $k > 1$, the objects of the category $\mathcal{B}_k$ are the labelled, planar binary trees with k leaves, with exactly one morphism between any two objects. The action of the symmetric group Σ_k permutes the labels.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be a generalized reduced homology theory. If (X, μ, x_0) is a simplicial monoid, then $A(X; \mathcal{E}_*)$ is naturally a $\mathcal{B}$-algebra symmetric spectrum.*

1.3. **Structure of this article.** We begin in Section 2 by introducing in more detail the categories Comod_{X_+} and R_X, describing in particular the adjunctions that relate them to the category of pointed simplicial sets, sSet_*. In Section 3 we construct the adjunction in Theorem 1.1 and prove useful properties of the functors $-/X$ and $-\star X$, such as that both functors preserve $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences (Lemmas 3.11 and 3.13). We also obtain a useful, explicit formula for constructing pullbacks in Comod_{X_+} (Corollary 3.4).

The existence of the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on Comod_{X_+} is established in Section 4, in two distinct ways: one involving left-induction directly from the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on sSet_* (Theorem 4.7), the other involving right-induction from the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on R_X (Theorem 4.9). We present both proofs, as they highlight distinct aspects of the model category structure of Comod_{X_+}, all of which come into play in our study of the stable case. Furthermore, comparison between the two proofs illustrates the utility of left-induction methods for establishing existence of model category structures. We also prove that weak equivalences of simplicial sets induce Quillen equivalences of the associated categories of either retractive spaces or comodules (Corollary 4.6), and establish a model-category-theoretic Koszul duality between comodules over a simplicial set and modules over its loop space (Theorem 4.10).

In Section 5 we establish our stable results, proving the existence of a model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ that is Quillen equivalent to the Hovey stabilizaton [13] of Comod_{X_+} with respect to smashing with S^1 and in which both the cofibrations and the weak equivalences are created in Sp, the stable model category of symmetric spectra [14] (Theorem 5.2). In the process of doing so, we show that the model category structure on symmetric spectra obtained by applying Hovey's stabilization machine to $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$, i.e., where weak equivalences are $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences, is identical to that obtained from $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, i.e., with the usual Kan model category structure (Proposition 5.12), as long as levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences are stable equivalences. This conditions holds for $\mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$ or $\mathcal{E}_* = \pi^s_*$, for example.

In Appendix A we recall the elements of the theory of left-induced model category structures, then prove three useful general results: a sort of universal property enabling us to factor Quillen pairs through left-induced model category structures (Lemma A.5), an existence result for model category structures left-induced from left Bousfield localizations (Proposition A.6), and a compatibility criterion for monoidal structures and left-induced model category structures (Proposition A.9).

1.4. **Remarks on the genesis of this article.** The process of attempting to prove the existence of a model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, left-induced from (some localization of) the usual Kan model category structure on sSet_*, via the forgetful/cofree comodule adjunction, led us to ask how to compute pullbacks in Comod_{X_+}. The construction of these pullbacks became clear only when we realized that they were being created in the category of retractive spaces, via the adjunction that we give here. We later realized that existence of the desired model category structure on Comod_{X_+} could also be established by right-induction from the localized model category structure on R_X.

1.5. **Notation and conventions.**

- Let C be a small category, and let $A, B \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathsf{C}$. In these notes, the set of morphisms from A to B is denoted $\mathsf{C}(A,B)$. The identity morphism on an object A is often denoted A as well.
- A terminal (respectively, initial) object in a category is denoted e (respectively, $\emptyset$).
- If $\mathsf{C} \underset{R}{\overset{L}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{D}$ are adjoint functors, then we denote the natural bijections
$$\mathsf{C}(C, RD) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathsf{D}(LC, D) : f \mapsto f^\flat$$
and
$$\mathsf{D}(LC, D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathsf{C}(C, RD) : g \mapsto g^\sharp$$
for all objects C in C and D in D.
- If M is a model category, we denote its classes of weak equivalences, fibrations, and cofibrations by $\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively.
- We denote the categories of simplicial sets and of pointed simplicial sets by sSet and sSet_* respectively.
- For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, let $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$ denote the category of pointed simplicial sets endowed with (a pointed version of) the model structure of Theorem 10.2 in [6], i.e., the weak equivalences are the $\mathcal{E}_*$-homology isomorphisms, while the cofibrations are the levelwise injections. The classes of weak equivalences, fibrations and cofibrations in $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$ are denoted
$$\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}, \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E},$$
respectively. Note that the acyclic fibrations in $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$ are the same as those in the usual Kan model category structure.
- The diagonal map of any simplicial set Y is denoted $\Delta_Y : Y \to Y \times Y$.
- We use the same notation for a pointed simplicial set and its underlying unpointed simplicial set.
- If X is an unpointed simplicial set, then $X_+ = X \coprod e$ denotes the pointed simplicial set obtained by adding a disjoint basepoint. There is a unique morphism of pointed simplicial sets $\varepsilon : X_+ \to e$.
- For any pointed simplicial set Y with basepoint y_0, let $\pi_Y : Y \times X \to Y \wedge X_+$ denote the quotient map. Note that the equivalence class of (y, x) in the quotient $Y \wedge X_+$ is a singleton, unless $y = y_0$, in which case the equivalence class is $\{y_0\} \times X$.

1.6. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Andrew Blumberg, Bill Dwyer, Mike Mandell, Emily Riehl, and John Rognes for useful conversations during this project. We are also grateful to Peter May and the University of Chicago for hosting us during the beginning stages of this project.

2. Comodules and retractive spaces

Let X be an unpointed simplicial set. In this section we introduce two categories of simplicial sets endowed with additional structure given in terms of X and establish properties of these structures that we apply later in this article. In Section 3, we describe functors that form an adjunction relating these two categories.

2.1. **X_+-comodules in sSet_*.** We denote by Comod_{X_+} the *category of right X_+-comodules* in sSet_* with respect to the smash product of pointed simplicial sets. An object of Comod_{X_+} is a pointed simplicial set Y equipped with a morphism $\rho : Y \to Y \wedge X_+$ of pointed simplicial sets such that

$$(\rho \wedge X_+)\rho = \big(Y \wedge (\Delta_X)_+\big)\rho \quad \text{and} \quad (Y \wedge \varepsilon)\rho = Y.$$

A morphism from (Y, ρ) to (Y', ρ') is a morphism $f : Y \to Y'$ of pointed simplicial sets such that $\rho' f = (f \wedge X_+)\rho$.

Notation 2.1. We say that an object (Y, ρ) of Comod_{X_+} is *homotopically finite* if Y is homotopically finite as a simplicial set, i.e., Y is weakly equivalent to a simplicial set with only finitely many nondegenerate simplices. The full subcategory of homotopically finite X_+-comodules is denoted $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})^{\mathrm{hf}}$.

Note that if (Y, ρ) is an X_+-comodule, where the basepoint of Y is y_0, then for any $y \neq y_0$ we have $\rho(y) = \big[(y, x)\big] = \{(y, x)\}$ because $(Y \wedge \varepsilon)\rho = Y$. On the other hand, since ρ is a pointed map, $\rho(y_0) = \{y_0\} \times X$, which is the basepoint of $Y \wedge X_+$. In particular, $\rho : Y \to Y \wedge X_+$ is a monomorphism in sSet_*.

Remark 2.2. There is an adjunction

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \underset{F_{X_+}}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{sSet}_* \ ,$$

where F_{X_+} denotes the *cofree right X_+-comodule functor*, which is specified on objects by $F_{X_+}(Y) = \big(Y \wedge X_+, Y \wedge (\Delta_X)_+\big)$, and U is the forgetful functor.

For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, a morphism of right X_+-comodules is said to be an *$\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence* if the underlying morphism of pointed simplicial sets is.

The category Comod_{X_+} admits rich structure, as the next few lemmas illustrate.

Lemma 2.3. *The category Comod_{X_+} is bicomplete, i.e., admits all limits and colimits.*

Proof. Since colimits in any category of coalgebras over a comonad are created in the underlying category, and sSet_* is cocomplete, we deduce that Comod_{X_+} is cocomplete as well. Moreover, $- \wedge X_+$ preserves monomorphisms, and sSet_* is well powered, whence, by the dual of the second corollary in [1, §II.5], Comod_{X_+} is also complete. □

Lemma 2.4. *The category* Comod_{X_+} *admits enrichment, tensoring and cotensoring over* sSet_* *such that*

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \underset{-\wedge X_+}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{sSet}_*$$

is an sSet_**-adjunction.*

Proof. The simplicial enrichment of Comod_{X_+} is defined in the obvious way, via equalizers. Tensoring of Comod_{X_+} over sSet_*,

$$-\widetilde{\otimes}- : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \times \mathsf{sSet}_* \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+},$$

is defined as follows. If K is a pointed simplicial set, and (Y,ρ) is an object in Comod_{X_+}, then

$$(Y,\rho)\widetilde{\otimes}K = \big(Y\wedge K, (Y\wedge\tau)(\rho\wedge K)\big),$$

where $\tau : X_+\wedge K \xrightarrow{\cong} K\wedge X_+$ is the symmetry isomorphism.

Since $U\big((Y,\rho)\widetilde{\otimes}K\big) = Y\wedge K = U(Y,\rho)\wedge K$ for all objects (Y,ρ) in Comod_{X_+}, U is comonadic, and Comod_{X_+} is complete, the dual of [5, Theorem 4.5.6] implies that $-\widetilde{\otimes}K$ admits a right adjoint, natural in K, which is the desired cotensor. □

Remark 2.5. For any morphism $a : X' \to X$ of simplicial sets, there is a *pushforward* functor $a_* : \mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+} \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$, specified on objects by

$$a_*(Y,\rho) = \big(Y, (Y\wedge a_+)\rho\big).$$

Lemma 2.6. *For any morphism* $a : X' \to X$ *of simplicial sets, the pushforward functor* $a_* : \mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+} \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$ *admits a right adjoint* $a^* : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+}$.

Proof. Since the forgetful functor $U : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{sSet}_*$ is comonadic, $\mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+}$ is complete, and the composite $U\circ a_*$ is exactly the forgetul functor from $\mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+}$ to sSet_*, which has a right adjoint, the Adjoint Triangle Theorem [7] implies that a_* admits a right adjoint. □

When X is endowed with the structure of a simplicial monoid, i.e., with an associative multiplication $\mu : X\times X \to X$ that is unital with respect to a basepoint x_0, the category Comod_{X_+} admits a monoidal structure.

Lemma 2.7. *If* (X,μ,x_0) *is a simplicial monoid, then the smash product of pointed simplicial sets lifts to a monoidal product* $\otimes$ *on* Comod_{X_+} *with unit* (S^0,ρ_u) *where*

$$\rho_u : S^0 \to S^0\wedge X_+ \cong X_+$$

is specified by $\rho_u(0) = +$ *and* $\rho_u(1) = x_0$. *Moreover,* $\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, \otimes, (S^0,\rho_u)\big)$ *is a monoidal category.*

Proof. If (Y,ρ) and (Y',ρ') are right X_+-comodules, let $\rho * \rho'$ denote the composite

$$Y\wedge Y' \xrightarrow{\rho\wedge\rho'} (Y\wedge X_+)\wedge(Y'\wedge X_+) \cong (Y\wedge Y')\wedge(X\times X)_+ \xrightarrow{(Y\wedge Y')\wedge\mu_+} (Y\wedge Y')\wedge X_+,$$

and let

$$(Y,\rho)\otimes(Y',\rho') = (Y\wedge Y', \rho * \rho').$$

It is easy to check $(Y\wedge Y', \rho*\rho')$ is indeed a right X_+-comodule and that

$$(Y,\rho)\otimes(S^0,\rho_u) \cong (Y,\rho)$$

for all (Y,ρ). That $\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+},\otimes,(S^0,\rho_u)\big)$ satisifies all of the axioms of a monoidal category then follows immediately from the fact that $(\mathsf{sSet}_*,\wedge,S^0)$ does. □

Remark 2.8. For any simplicial monoid (X,μ,x_0), the monoidal structure on Comod_{X_+} can also be described as the composite of two functors, as follows. For any pair of simplicial sets X and X', there is an *external product* functor

$$-\widetilde{\times}-:\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}\times\mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+}\to\mathsf{Comod}_{(X\times X')_+},$$

specified on objects by

$$(Y,\rho)\widetilde{\times}(Y',\rho')=\big(Y\wedge Y',\tau(\rho\wedge\rho')\big),$$

where $\tau:(Y\wedge X_+)\wedge(Y'\wedge X'_+)\xrightarrow{\cong}(Y\wedge Y')\wedge(X\times X')_+$ is the symmetry isomorphism. If (X,μ,x_0) is a simplicial monoid, then $(Y,\rho)\otimes(Y',\rho')=\mu_*\big((Y,\rho)\widetilde{\times}(Y',\rho')\big)$, where $\mu_*:\mathsf{Comod}_{(X\times X)_+}\to\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$ is the pushforward functor of Remark 2.5.

2.2. **Retractive spaces over X.** For any category C and any object X of C, let $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{C})$ denote the category of retractive objects over X. An object of $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{C})$ is an object Z of C equipped with a pair of morphisms $i:X\to Z$ and $r:Z\to X$ such that $ri=X$. A morphism from (Z,i,r) to (Z',i',r') is a morphism $f:Z\to Z'$ of simplicial sets such that $fi=i'$ and $r'f=r$. Note that $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{C})$ is a pointed category, with initial/terminal object $(X,\mathrm{Id}_X,\mathrm{Id}_X)$.

Notation 2.9. When X is a simplicial set, we simplify notation, letting $\mathsf{R}_X=\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{sSet})$, the objects of which we call *retractive spaces*. For any retractive space (Z,i,r), let

$$p_i:Z\to Z/i(X):z\mapsto[z]$$

denote the natural simplicial quotient map.

A retractive space (Z,i,r) is said to be *homotopically finite* if $Z/i(X)$ is weakly equivalent to a simplicial set with only finitely many nondegenerate simplices. We denote the full subcategory of homotopically finite objects by $(\mathsf{R}_X)^{\mathrm{hf}}$.

Remark 2.10. There is an adjunction

$$\mathsf{R}_X\underset{\mathrm{Ret}_X}{\overset{V}{\rightleftarrows}}\mathsf{sSet}_*\ ,$$

where $\mathrm{Ret}_X:\mathsf{sSet}_*\to\mathsf{R}_X$ sends a pointed simplicial set Y with basepoint y_0 to $(Y\times X,i_{y_0},\mathrm{proj}_2)$, where

$$i_{y_0}:X\to Y\times X:x\mapsto(y_0,x).$$

Its left adjoint $V:\mathsf{R}_X\to\mathsf{sSet}_*$ sends a retractive space (Z,i,r) to the pointed simplicial set $Z/i(X)$, where the basepoint is the equivalence class $i(X)$ of all points in the image of i.

Remark 2.11. For any simplicial set X, let sSet/X denote the corresponding over-category. The adjunction $V\dashv\mathrm{Ret}_X$ is in fact nothing but the pointed version, in the sense of [12, Proposition 1.3.5], of the adjunction

$$\mathsf{sSet}/X\underset{P_X}{\overset{U_X}{\rightleftarrows}}\mathsf{sSet}\ ,$$

where U_X is the forgetful functor, and $P_X(W)=(W\times X,\mathrm{proj}_2)$.

Remark 2.12. The adjunction $V \dashv \mathrm{Ret}_X$ is not comonadic, as the functor underlying the associated comonad on sSet_* is $- \wedge X_+$, for which the category of coalgebras is Comod_{X_+}. In Theorem 3.1 we clarify the relationship between R_X and Comod_{X_+} and observe that they are equivalent as categories if and only if $X = \{*\}$ (Remark 3.2).

For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, a morphism $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ of retractive spaces is said to be an $\mathcal{E}_*$-*equivalence* if $f : \big(Z, i(x_0)\big) \to \big(Z', i'(x_0)\big)$ is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of pointed simplicial sets, for any choice of basepoint $x_0 \in X$.

The following simple observations turn out to be quite useful for the computations we need to do.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be a generalized reduced homology theory. For any retractive space $(Z, i, r,)$ over X, and any choice of basepoint in X, there is a natural isomorphism of graded abelian groups*

$$\mathcal{E}_*(Z) \cong \mathcal{E}_*\big(Z/i(X)\big) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*(X).$$

Proof. If (Z, i, r) is a retractive space, then

$$X \underset{r}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} Z \xrightarrow{p_i} Z/i(X)$$

is a split cofiber sequence, whence

$$\mathcal{E}_*(Z) \cong \mathcal{E}_*\big(Z/i(X)\big) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*(X)$$

as graded abelian groups. □

It is useful later in this article to know that pullbacks in R_X are created in sSet.

Lemma 2.14. *Pullbacks in R_X exist and are created in sSet.*

Proof. Let $f : (Z', i', r') \to (Z, i, r)$ and $g : (Z'', i'', r'') \to (Z, i, r)$ be morphisms of retractive spaces. Let $Z' \times_Z Z''$ denote the usual pullback of g along f in sSet. Let

$$\hat{\imath} : X \to Z' \times_Z Z'' : X \mapsto \big(i'(x), i''(x)\big)$$

and

$$\hat{r} : Z' \times_Z Z'' \to X : (z', z'') \mapsto r'(z').$$

Note that $r'(z') = rf(z') = rg(z'') = r''(z'')$ for all $(z', z'') \in Z' \times_Z Z''$ and that $\hat{r}\hat{\imath} = \mathrm{Id}_X$.

An easy calculation shows that both projection maps

$$\mathrm{proj}_1 : (Z' \times_Z Z'', \hat{\imath}, \hat{r}) \to (Z', i', r') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{proj}_2 : (Z' \times_Z Z'', \hat{\imath}, \hat{r}) \to (Z'', i'', r'')$$

are morphisms of retractive spaces and that $(Z' \times_Z Z'', \hat{\imath}, \hat{r})$ satisfies the required universal property. □

Example 2.15. In particular, the simplicial set underlying a pullback of two morphisms

$$k : (Z, i, r) \to \mathrm{Ret}_X(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ret}_X(p) : \mathrm{Ret}_X(E) \to \mathrm{Ret}_X(B)$$

in R_X is $Z \times_B E$, formed by pulling back $\mathrm{proj}_1 \circ f : Z \to B$ along $p : E \to B$ since

$$Z \times_{B \times X} (E \times X) \cong Z \times_B E.$$

More generally, it is true that R_X is bicomplete, since it is a slice category of a bicomplete category, but not all limits and colimits are created in sSet.

Finally, we recall the well known construction of the adjunction between categories of retractive spaces that is induced by a simplicial map [22], as a special case of a general categorical construction, which we need later in this paper.

Lemma 2.16. *Let C be a category closed under pushouts and pullbacks. For any morphism $a : X' \to X$ in C, there is a pair of adjoint functors*

$$\mathsf{R}_{X'}(\mathsf{C}) \underset{a^*}{\overset{a_*}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{C}),$$

which are specified on objects by $a_*(Z', i', r') = (a_*Z', a_*i', a_*r')$, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{a} & X \\ {\scriptstyle i'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_*i'} \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}} & a_*Z' \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in C, and $a_*r' : a_*Z' \to X$ is the unique morphism induced by $ar' : Z' \to X$ and $Id_X : X \to X$, and $a^*(Z, i, r) = (a^*Z, a^*i, a^*r)$, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a^*Z & \xrightarrow{\hat{a}} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle a^*r}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram in C, and $a^*i : X' \to a^*Z$ is the unique morphism induced by $ar' : Z' \to X$ and $Id_X : X \to X$

Proof. The universal property of the pushout (respectively, the pullback) enables us to define a_* (respectively, a^*) on morphisms in a manner compatible with composition and preserving identities.

To see that a_* and a^* are indeed adjoint, observe that the existence of either a morphism $(Z', i', r') \to a^*(Z, i, r)$ in $\mathsf{R}_{X'}(\mathsf{C})$ or a morphism $a_*(Z', i', r') \to (Z, i, r)$ in $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{C})$ is equivalent to the existence of a morphism $g : Z' \to Z$ such that $gi' = ia$ and $rg = ar'$. □

The category of retractive spaces interests homotopy theorists primarily because of its essential role in the definition of Waldhausen K-theory of spaces. The K-theory spectrum $A(X)$ of a simplicial set X was originally defined in [22, §2.1] to be the Waldhausen K-theory, built using the $S_\bullet$-construction, of the Waldhausen category $(\mathsf{R}_X)^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, where $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ is a cofibration (respectively, weak equivalence) if $f : Z \to Z'$ is a cofibration (respectively, weak homotopy equivalence) in sSet.

For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, it is easy to see that there is a modified Waldhausen category structure $(\mathsf{R}_X)^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}}$, with the same cofibrations as above, but where $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ is a weak equivalence if $f : Z \to Z'$ is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence. We denote the corresponding Waldhausen K-theory by $A(X; \mathcal{E}_*)$. The identity functor

$$(\mathsf{R}_X)^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathrm{Kan}} \to (\mathsf{R}_X)^{\mathrm{hf}}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

is an exact functor of Waldhausen categories and therefore induces a morphism $j : A(X) \to A(X; \mathcal{E}_*)$. We see below that j is a weak equivalence for well-chosen $\mathcal{E}_*$ and X.

Lemma 2.17. *If X is simply connected, then $j : A(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} A(X, \mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*)$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. Waldhausen's fibration theorem [22, 1.6.4] implies that the fiber of the map $j : A(X) \to A(X, \mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*)$ is the K-theory of the subcategory $\mathcal{F}$ of retractive spaces that are $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-acyclic, where the weak equivalences are the weak homotopy equivalences. Note that after suspension all objects in $\mathcal{F}$ are homotopically trivial, by Whitehead's theorem. Since Waldhausen K-theory is a stable invariant by [22, 1.6.2], it follows that the K-theory of $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial and that j is a weak equivalence.

This needs a bit of care though, since the initial/final object in R_X is X, so that "acyclic," "suspension," and "homotopically trivial" should be interpreted relative to X. That is, (Z, i, r) is $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-acyclic in R_X if i is an $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-equivalence, and a model of the suspension is $\Sigma_X(Z) = M_r \cup_Z M_r$ where M_r is the mapping cylinder of r. By the Seifert - van Kampen theorem, if X is simply connected, then so is $\Sigma_X(Z)$. It follows that $X \to \Sigma_X(Z)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for any $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-acyclic object Z; that is, $\Sigma_X(Z)$ is homotopically trivial. □

3. The adjunction theorem

The main goal of this section is to prove the theorem below, describing the close relationship between Comod_{X_+} and R_X.

Theorem 3.1. *There is an adjoint pair of functors*

$$\mathsf{R}_X \underset{-\star X}{\overset{-/X}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} ,$$

both of which preserve $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences and such that the counit map

$$\big((Y, \rho) \star X\big)/X \to (Y, \rho)$$

is a natural isomorphism, while the unit map

$$(Z, i, r) \to \big((Z, i, r)/X\big) \star X$$

is a natural $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence for every generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$. Moreover, for every simplicial map $a : X' \to X$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{R}_{X'} & \xrightarrow{-/X'} & \mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+} \\ {\scriptstyle a_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_*} \\ \mathsf{R}_X & \xrightarrow{-/X} & \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \end{array}$$

commutes, where a_* denotes the pushforward functor of either Remark 2.5 or Lemma 2.16.

Remark 3.2. When $X = *$ is the one point space, this is an equivalence of categories. Both R_* and Comod_{S^0} are equivalent to sSet_*, and $-/*$ and $- \star *$ induce the identity functors. On the other hand, the adjunction above is not an equivalence if $X \neq *$. For example, consider $(Z, i, r) = (X \times \Delta[1], i_0, pr_1)$, where i_0 denotes

the inclusion at the bottom of the cylinder, and pr_1 is the projection on the first coordinate. Definitions 3.7 and 3.12 below imply that the simplicial set underlying $\big((Z,i,r)/X\big)\star X$ is $(X_+\wedge\Delta[1])\star X$, which is easily seen not to be isomorphic to $X\times\Delta[1]$ if $X\neq\{*\}$.

We also establish below a number of useful properties of the functors $-/X$ and $-\star X$. These properties, together with Theorem 3.1, imply the formula below for pullbacks in Comod_{X_+}.

Remark 3.3. Our original strategy for proving Theorem 4.1 required explicit computations of pullbacks in Comod_{X_+}, which in turn led us to study the adjunction of Theorem 3.1. Pullbacks in Comod_{X_+} play no role in the final version of our proof of Theorem 4.1, but we think it is nevertheless worthwhile to record the formula below, as explicit formulas for limits in categories of coalgebras can be hard to obtain. Limits in a category of coalgebras over a comonad are generally not created in the underlying category, so that even if one knows for abstract reasons that limits exist, it is not necessarily easy to compute them.

Corollary 3.4. *For any pair $(Y',\rho')\xrightarrow{f}(Y,\rho)\xleftarrow{g}(Y'',\rho'')$ of morphisms of right X_+-comodules with a common target, the pullback $(Y',\rho')\times_{(Y,\rho)}(Y'',\rho'')$ in Comod_{X_+} exists, and there is an isomorphism of right X_+-comodules*

$$(Y',\rho')\times_{(Y,\rho)}(Y'',\rho'')\cong\Big(\big((Y',\rho')\star X\big)\times_{(Y,\rho)\star X}\big((Y'',\rho'')\star X\big)\Big)/X.$$

In particular, if there is a morphism $h:W''\to W$ of pointed simplicial sets such that $g=F_{X_+}(h)$, then

$$(Y',\rho')\times_{F_{X_+}W}F_{X_+}W''\cong\Big(\big((Y',\rho')\star X\big)\times_W W''\Big)/X,$$

where the pullback inside the parentheses on the right is computed in the category of unpointed simplicial sets.

We begin below by defining and studying first the functor $-\star X$, then the functor $-/X$. Having established the necessary properties of these two functors, we then prove Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.4.

3.1. **From comodules to retractive spaces.** The definition of the functor from right X_+-comodules to retractive spaces over X begins with a construction in sSet.

Definition 3.5. For any right X_+-comodule (Y,ρ), let $Y\star X$ denote the pullback of

$$Y\xrightarrow{\rho}Y\wedge X_+\xleftarrow{\pi_Y}Y\times X$$

in the category of unpointed simplicial sets.

Remark 3.6. For any right X_+-comodule (Y,ρ), the simplicial set $Y\star X$ looks something like $Y\vee X$, though this does not actually make sense, as X has no basepoint. In fact, easy calculation shows that, up to isomorphism, the set of n-simplices of $Y\star X$ is

$$(Y\star X)_n=\{(y,x)\in Y_n\times X_n\mid \text{ either } y\neq y_0 \text{ and } \rho(y)=(y,x) \text{ or } y=y_0 \text{ and } x\in X_n\},$$

where y_0 denotes (an iterated degeneracy of) the basepoint of Y.

Definition 3.7. Let $-\star X:\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}\to\mathsf{R}_X$ denote the functor defined on objects by $(Y,\rho)\star X=(Y\star X,i_\rho,r_\rho)$, where

$$i_\rho:X\to Y\star X:x\mapsto(y_0,x)\quad\text{ and }\quad r_\rho:Y\star X\to X:(y,x)\mapsto x.$$

Notation 3.8. For any right X_+-comodule (Y,ρ), it is useful to give names to all the maps in the pullback defining $Y \star X$, which we do as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \star X & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & Y \times X \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_\rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_Y} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & Y \wedge X_+ \end{array}$$

Note that $\pi_\rho(y,x) = y$ for all $(y,x) \in Y \star X$, while $\bar{\rho}$ is simply an inclusion.

Example 3.9. The calculation of $(Y,\rho) \star X$ is particularly simple when (Y,ρ) is a cofree comodule. For all pointed simplicial sets Y, there is a natural isomorphism of retractive spaces

$$F_{X_+}(Y) \star X \cong \mathrm{Ret}_X(Y).$$

We establish this isomorphism as follows. Let $\mathbf{y}_0$ denote the basepoint of $Y \wedge X_+$. By the computation in Remark 3.6, the set of n-simplices of the simplicial set underlying $F_{X_+}(Y) \star X$ is

$$\big((Y \wedge X_+) \star X\big)_n = \big((Y_n \smallsetminus \{y_0\}) \times \Delta_X(X_n)\big) \cup \big(\{\mathbf{y}_0\} \times X_n\big),$$

which is in bijection with

$$\big((Y_n \smallsetminus \{y_0\}) \times X_n\big) \cup \big(\{y_0\} \times X_n\big) = Y_n \times X_n.$$

One checks easily that this bijection respects faces and degeneracies, and that under this identification of $\big((Y \wedge X_+) \star X\big)_n$ with $Y_n \times X_n$, the injections i_ρ and r_ρ correspond to i_{y_0} and proj_2, completing the proof.

The lemma below follows easily from the formulas in the Definition 3.7.

Lemma 3.10. *For any right X_+-comodule (Y,ρ), the natural map of pointed simplicial sets*

$$\widehat{\pi}_\rho : (Y \star X)/i_\rho(X) \to Y : \big[(y,x)\big] \mapsto y$$

is an isomorphism.

Preservation and reflection of homology equivalences by the functor $- \star X$ is an immediate consequence Lemmas 2.13 and 3.10.

Lemma 3.11. *For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, the functor*

$$- \star X : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{R}_X$$

preserves and reflects $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.

3.2. **From retractive spaces to comodules.** The functor assigning a right X_+-comodule to any retractive space over X is constructed as follows.

Definition 3.12. Let $-/X : \mathsf{R}_X \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$ denote the functor specified on objects by

$$(Z,i,r)/X = \big(Z/i(X), \rho_{(i,r)}\big),$$

where $\rho_{(i,r)} : Z/i(X) \to \big(Z/i(X)\big) \wedge X_+$ is the unique pointed simplicial map such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{(p_i \times r)\Delta_Z} & \big(Z/i(X)\big) \times X & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Z/i(X)}} & \big(Z/i(X)\big) \wedge X_+ \\ & {\scriptstyle p_i}\searrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{(i,r)}} & \\ & & Z/i(X) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. In other words, if $z \in Z_n \smallsetminus i(X_n)$ for some n, then $[z] = \{z\} \in Z_n/i(X_n)$, and

$$\rho_{(i,r)}\big([z]\big) = \big[\big([z], r(z)\big)\big] = \big\{\big([z], r(z)\big)\big\}.$$

The lemma below is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.13.

Lemma 3.13. *For any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, the functor*

$$-/X : \mathsf{R}_X \to \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}$$

preserves and reflects $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.

In Section 4 we need to know that the functors $-/X$ and $- \star X$ preserve and reflect underlying monomorphisms.

Lemma 3.14. *The simplicial map underlying $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ is a monomorphism if and only if the simplicial map underlying*

$$f/X : \big(Z/i(X), \rho_{i,r}\big) \to \big(Z'/i'(X), \rho_{i',r'}\big)$$

is a monomorphism.

Proof. It is obvious that if $f : Z \to Z'$ is a monomorphism of simplicial sets such that $fi = i'$, then the induced morphism of pointed simplicial sets $\hat{f} : Z/i(X) \to Z'/i'(X)$ is also a monomorphism.

Suppose now that $f : Z \to Z'$ satisfies $fi = i'$ and that the induced map $\hat{f} : Z/i(X) \to Z'/i'(X)$ is a monomorphism. Since i' is a monomorphism, the restriction of f to $i(X)$ must be a monomorphism. On the other hand, the (purely set-theoretic) restriction of f to $Z \smallsetminus i(X)$ must also be a monomorphism, since $\hat{f}$ is a monomorphism. As $Z = i(X) \cup \big(Z \smallsetminus i(X)\big)$, we can conclude that f itself is a monomorphism. □

By Lemma 3.10 it follows that $- \star X$ also preserves and reflects underlying monomorphisms.

Corollary 3.15. *The map $g : (Y, \rho) \to (Y', \rho')$ of comodules is a monomorphism if and only if the simplicial map $g \star X : Y \star X \to Y' \star X$ is a monomorphism.*

The following observation, which is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.10, is important for our application to Waldhausen K-theory in the introduction. Recall the definition of homotopical finiteness in Comod_{X_+} and in R_X from Notation 2.1 and 2.9.

Lemma 3.16. *Let (Y, ρ) be a X_+-comodule. If $(Y, \rho) \star X$ is homotopically finite as a retractive space, then (Y, ρ) is homotopically finite.*

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.1 and of Corollary 3.4.** We first prove that the functors $-/X$ and $- \star X$ are adjoint.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We already established, in Lemmas 3.11 and 3.13 , that both $-/X$ and $- \star X$ preserve $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.

Our first step is therefore to show that there are natural bijections

$$\alpha : \mathsf{R}_X\big((Z, i, r), (Y, \rho) \star X\big) \rightleftarrows \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}\big((Z/i(X), \rho_{i,r}), (Y, \rho)\big) : \beta$$

Let $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Y, \rho) \star X = (Y \star X, i_\rho, r_\rho)$ be a morphism of retractive spaces over X. Since $fi = i_\rho$, there is an induced morphism of pointed simplicial sets

$$Z/i(X) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} (Y \star X)/i_\rho(X) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\pi}_\rho} Y,$$

where the morphism on the right is the isomorphism of Lemma 3.10. Let $f^\flat = \widehat{\pi}_\rho \hat{f}$, so that

$$f^\flat\big([z]\big) = \widehat{\pi}_\rho\big[f(z)\big].$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$\rho f^\flat = (f^\flat \wedge X_+)\rho_{i,r},$$

i.e., that $f^\flat : \big(Z/i(X), \rho_{i,r}\big) \to (Y, \rho)$ is a morphism of right X_+-comodules, so we can set $\alpha(f) = f^\flat$.

Let $g : \big(Z/i(X), \rho_{i,r}\big) \to (Y, \rho)$ be a morphism of right X_+-comodules. Recall that $p_i : Z \to Z/i(X)$ is the quotient map. It follows from the definition of $\rho_{i,r}$ that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{(gp_i \times r)\Delta_Z} & Y \times X \\ {\scriptstyle gp_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_Y} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & Y \wedge X_+ \end{array}$$

commutes, since $\rho g p_i = (g \wedge X_+)\pi_{Z/i(X)}(p_i \times r)\Delta_Z = \pi_Y(gp_i \times r)\Delta_Z$. There is therefore a unique simplicial map $g^\sharp : Z \to Y \star X$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{(gp_i \times r)\Delta_Z} & Y \times X \\ {\scriptstyle gp_i}\downarrow & \searrow^{g^\sharp} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\rho}} \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\pi_\rho} & Y \star X \end{array}$$

commutes (cf. Notation 3.8). Since g is a pointed map,

$$\pi_\rho i_\rho = gp_i i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho} i_\rho = (gp_i \times r)\Delta_Z i,$$

whence the universal property of pullbacks implies that $g^\sharp i = \iota_\rho$. On the other hand,

$$r_\rho g^\sharp(z) = r_\rho\big(gp_i(z), r(z)\big) = r(z)$$

for all $z \in Z$. We conclude that

$$g^\sharp : (Z, i, r) \to (Y \star X, i_\rho, r_\rho) = (Y, \rho) \star X : z \mapsto \big(gp_i(z), r(z)\big)$$

is a morphism of retractive spaces over X, so that we can set $\beta(g) = g^\sharp$.

It is clear that both α and β are natural in all variables. Moreover for all $f \in \mathsf{R}_X\big((Z, i, r), (Y, \rho) \star X\big)$ and all $z \in Z$,

$$\beta\alpha(f)(z) = (f^\flat)^\sharp(z) = \big(f^\flat p_i(z), r(z)\big) = \big(\widehat{\pi}_\rho p_{i_\rho} f(z), r(z)\big) = f(z),$$

where the last equality follows from the facts that $r_\rho f = r$ and that

$$\widehat{\pi}_\rho p_{i_\rho} : Y \star X \to Y : (y, x) \mapsto y.$$

Finally, for all $g \in \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}\big((Z/i(X), \rho_{i,r}), (Y, \rho)\big)$,

$$\beta\alpha(g)\big([z]\big) = (g^\sharp)^\flat\big([z]\big) = \widehat{\pi}_\rho\Big(\big[g^\sharp(z)\big]\Big) = \widehat{\pi}_\rho\Big(\big[gp_i(z), r(z)\big]\Big) = g\big([z]\big),$$

whence α and β are indeed mutually inverse bijections, as desired.

Lemma 3.10 implies that the counit

$$\varepsilon_{(Y,\rho)} = \widehat{\pi}_\rho : \big((Y,\rho) \star X\big)/X \to (Y,\rho)$$

of this adjunction is a natural isomorphism. To see that the unit map

$$\eta_{(Z,i,r)} : (Z,i,r) \to \big((Z,i,r)/X\big) \star X : z \mapsto \big([z], r(x)\big)$$

is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence for all retractive spaces over X, apply $\mathcal{E}_*$ to the following commuting diagram of split cofiber sequences.



To conclude, a simple computation shows that $a_* \circ (-/X') = (-/X) \circ a_*$ for all simplicial maps $a : X' \to X$. □

Having proved Theorem 3.1, it is easy to establish the formula for pullbacks in Comod_{X_+}.

Proof of Corollary 3.4. Consider $(Y',\rho') \xrightarrow{f} (Y,\rho) \xleftarrow{g} (Y'',\rho'')$, a pair of morphisms of right X_+-comodules with a common target. Since $- \star X : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{R}_X$ is a right adjoint by Theorem 3.1 and thus preserves limits,

$$\Big((Y',\rho') \times_{(Y,\rho)} (Y'',\rho'')\Big) \star X \cong \big((Y',\rho') \star X\big) \times_{(Y,\rho)\star X} \big((Y'',\rho'') \star X\big) \tag{3.1}$$

in R_X. According to Theorem 3.1, the unit of the $(-/X, - \star X)$-adjunction is a natural isomorphism, implying that the desired formula for the pullback in Comod_{X_+} can be obtained by applying the functor $-/X$ to (3.1)

If $g = F_{X_+}h : F_{X_+}W'' \to F_{X_+}W$, then by Lemma 3.9, the righthand side of (3.1) is

$$\big((Y',\rho') \star X\big) \times_{W\times X} (W'' \times X) \cong \big((Y',\rho') \star X\big) \times_W W''. \tag{3.2}$$

We obtain the formula for the pullback in this special case by applying the functor $-/X$ to the righthand side of (3.2). □

4. Model category structures on Comod_{X_+}

Our goal in this section is to prove that for any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, both R_X and Comod_{X_+} admit the model category structure left-induced (cf. Appendix A) from $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$, so that the adjunction of Theorem 3.1 is a Quillen equivalence. After stating our main result, we reduce its proof to establishing the existence of the desired model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, which we then prove in two ways. In the last part of this section we realize the Koszul duality between modules over $\mathbb{G}X$, the Kan loop groups on X, and comodules over X_+, as a Quillen equivalence between the respective model categories.

Recall the adjunctions of Remarks 2.2 and 2.10.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a simplicial set, and let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be a generalized reduced homology theory. There are cofibrantly generated, left proper model category structures $(\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E}$ and $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$ with respect to which the adjunction*

$$(\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E} \underset{-\star X}{\overset{-/X}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$$

is a Quillen equivalence and such that

(1) $\mathsf{WE}_{\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}} = U^{-1}(\mathsf{WE}_\mathcal{E})$ *and* $\mathsf{Cof}_{\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}} = U^{-1}(\mathsf{Cof}_\mathcal{E})$, *and*

(2) $\mathsf{WE}_{\mathsf{R}_X} = V^{-1}(\mathsf{WE}_\mathcal{E})$ *and* $\mathsf{Cof}_{\mathsf{R}_X} = V^{-1}(\mathsf{Cof}_\mathcal{E})$,

where $U : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{sSet}_* : (Y, \rho) \mapsto Y$ *and* $V : \mathsf{R}_X \to \mathsf{sSet}_* : (Z, i, r) \mapsto Z/i(X)$.

Moreover, if $a : X' \to X$ is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of simplicial sets, then the adjunctions

$$(\mathsf{R}_{X'})_\mathcal{E} \underset{a^*}{\overset{a_*}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathsf{Comod}_{X'})_\mathcal{E} \underset{a^*}{\overset{a_*}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E},$$

are both Quillen equivalences.

The existence of $(\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E}$ is established in Remark 4.4; see also Proposition 4.8. We give two proofs to establish the existence of $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$; Section 4.1 contains a proof by left induction and Section 4.2 by right induction. The two proofs illuminate complementary aspects of the model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, all of which come into play when we stabilize Comod_{X_+} in the Section 5.

Remark 4.2. Lemma 2.13 implies that $f \in \mathsf{WE}_{\mathsf{R}_X}$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_*(f)$ is an isomorphism for any choice of basepoint in X. Observe also that a morphism of either X_+-comodules or retractive spaces over X is a cofibration if and only if the underlying simplicial map is a levelwise injection of simplicial sets (cf. Lemma 3.14).

Remark 4.3. Note that once we have established the existence of model category structures on R_X and Comod_{X_+} such that conditions (1) and (2) hold, it follows immediately from Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14 that the adjunction of Theorem 3.1 is a Quillen pair.

To see that the adjunction is even a Quillen equivalence, let (Z, i, r) be a retractive space over X and (Y, ρ) a right X_+-comodule. Let $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Y, \rho) \star X$ be a morphism of retractive spaces, with transpose $f^\flat : (Z, i, r)/X \to (Y, \rho)$. Consider the commuting diagram of split cofiber sequences.



It is clear that $\mathcal{E}_*(f)$ is an isomorphism for any choice of basepoint in X if and only if $\mathcal{E}_*(f^\flat)$ is an isomorphism, whether or not (Y, ρ) is a fibrant object of Comod_{X_+}. Thus, to prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to establish the existence of the desired model category structures.

Remark 4.4. By a standard argument (cf. [12, Proposition 1.1.8]), for any model category M and any object X in M, the category $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{M})$ of retractive objects in M over X inherits a model category structure from M, in which a morphism $f : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ is a fibration (respectively, cofibration or weak equivalence) if and only if the underlying morphism of simplicial sets $f : Z \to Z'$ is of the same type.

In particular, when $\mathsf{M} = \mathsf{sSet}$, the inherited model category structure on R_X is left proper and simplicial, since $\mathsf{sSet}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is. By Remark 4.2, this model structure on R_X satisfies $\mathsf{WE}_{\mathsf{R}_X} = V^{-1}(\mathsf{WE}_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\mathsf{Cof}_{\mathsf{R}_X} = V^{-1}(\mathsf{Cof}_{\mathcal{E}})$. Moreover, the adjunction $V \dashv \mathrm{Ret}_X$ is a Quillen adjunction with respect to this induced model structure, by [12, Proposition 1.3.5].

To see that $a_* : \mathsf{R}_{X'} \to \mathsf{R}_X$ is the left member of a Quillen equivalence when $a : X' \to X$ is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence, we apply the following general result. Recall the adjunction of Lemma 2.16.

Lemma 4.5. *Let M be a proper model category. If $a : X' \to X$ is a weak equivalence in M, then the adjunction*

$$\mathsf{R}_{X'}(\mathsf{M}) \underset{a^*}{\overset{a_*}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{M}),$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. We begin by checking that a_* is indeed a left Quillen functor, for any morphism $a : X' \to X$ in M. Let $f : (Z_1, i_1, r_1) \to (Z_2, i_2, r_2)$ be a cofibration in $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{M})$, i.e., $f : Z_1 \to Z_2$ is a cofibration in M.

(4.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{a} & X' & \xrightarrow{i_1} & Z_1 \\ \| & & \| & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xleftarrow{a} & X' & \xrightarrow{i_2} & Z_2, \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that $a_*f : a_*Z_1 \to a_*Z_2$ is also a cofibration, using the characterization of cofibrations via the left lifting property with respect to acyclic fibrations. Similarly, if f is an acyclic cofibration, a_*f is also, since it lifts with respect to fibrations.

Now suppose that $a : X' \to X$ is a weak equivalence in M. Let (Z', i', r') be a cofibrant object in $\mathsf{R}_{X'}(\mathsf{M})$ and (Z, i, r) a fibrant object $\mathsf{R}_X(\mathsf{M})$, i.e., $i' : X' \to Z'$ and $r : Z \to X$ are a cofibration and a fibration in M, respectively. Since M is proper, both $\bar{a} : Z' \to a_*Z'$ and $\hat{a} : a^*Z \to Z$ are weak equivalences in M.

Let $f : (Z', i', r') \to a^*(Z, i, r)$ be a morphism in $\mathsf{R}_{X'}(\mathsf{M})$. There is a commuting diagram in M



where ε_Z is the counit of the adjunction. It follows that f is a weak equivalence if and only if $f^\flat$ is a weak equivalence, i.e., $a_* \dashv a^*$ is indeed a Quillen equivalence. □

Since $(\mathsf{sSet})_\mathcal{E}$ is a proper model category, once we have established the existence of the model category structure $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$, and therefore its Quillen equivalence with $(\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E}$ (cf. Remark 4.3), we obtain the next result as an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5. Note that it is easy to check that $a_* : (\mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+})_\mathcal{E} \to (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$ is left Quillen.

Corollary 4.6. *If $a : X' \to X$ is a weak equivalence in M, then the adjunction*

$$(\mathsf{Comod}_{X'_+})_\mathcal{E} \underset{a^*}{\overset{a_*}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E},$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

In the next two sections we provide two proofs of the existence of the desired model category structure on Comod_{X_+}, which illuminate complementary aspects of its nature, all of which we need in Section 5, when we consider spectra of comodules.

4.1. **The $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structure on Comod_{X_+}: proof by left-induction.** Starting from the adjunction $U \vdash (- \wedge X_+)$, we cannot call upon the standard methods of left-to-right transfer of model category structure for cofibrantly generated model categories to prove the existence of the desired model category structure on Comod_{X_+} from that of $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$, as $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$ is the target of the left adjoint rather than the right adjoint. We therefore apply Theorem A.4 instead, to obtain a left-induced model category structure.

Recall the monoidal structure on Comod_{X_+} of Lemma 2.7.

Theorem 4.7. *The adjunction $U \dashv (- \wedge X_+)$ left-induces a left proper, simplicial, cofibrantly generated model category structure on the category Comod_{X_+} of right X_+-comodules, with weak equivalences the $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences. Moreover, if (X, x_0, μ) is a simplicial monoid, then $\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, \otimes, (S^0, \rho_u)\big)$ is a monoidal model category.*

Proof. The $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure $(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E})$ on the category sSet_* is cofibrantly generated by [6] and is locally presentable [2].

Recall that Comod_{X_+} is bicomplete (Lemma 2.3). By [2], Comod_{X_+} is also locally presentable. Theorem A.4 implies that, in order to conclude, it suffices to show that

$$(U^{-1}\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E})^{\boxslash} \subset U^{-1}\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}.$$

Observe first that if $p : (Y', \rho') \to (Y, \rho)$ is an element of $(U^{-1}\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E})^{\boxslash}$, then its image $p \star X$ under the functor $- \star X : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{R}_X$ is an acyclic fibration in the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model category structure on R_X. Indeed, if $j : (Z, i, r) \to (Z', i', r')$ is a cofibration in $(\mathsf{R}_X)_\mathcal{E}$, i.e., a morphism of retractive spaces such that $j : Z \to Z'$ is a cofibration of simplicial sets, then in any commutative diagram in R_X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z, i, r) & \xrightarrow{a} & (Y, \rho) \star X \\ {\scriptstyle j}\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p\star X} \\ (Z', i', r') & \xrightarrow[b]{} & (Y', \rho') \star X \end{array}$$

the dotted lift exists because it exists in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z,i,r)/X & \xrightarrow{a^\flat} & (Y,\rho) \\ {\scriptstyle j/X}\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ (Z',i',r')/X & \xrightarrow[b^\flat]{} & (Y',\rho'), \end{array}$$

as Lemma 3.14 implies that $j/X \in U^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E})$. Since $p \star X$ is in particular an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence, it follows, by Lemmas 3.10 and 3.13, that p is also an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence, i.e., $p \in U^{-1}\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$, as desired.

By [3, Lemma 2.22], the left-induced model category structure on Comod_{X_+} is left proper. Recall that Comod_{X_+} admits enrichment, tensoring and cotensoring over sSet_* such that

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \underset{-\wedge X_+}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{sSet}_*$$

is an sSet_*-adjunction (Lemma 2.4). That Comod_{X_+} is a simplicial model category is thus an immediate consequence of [3, Lemma 2.23].

Now suppose that (X, x_0, μ) is a simplicial monoid. Observe first that if $\mathcal{E}_*$ is any generalized reduced homology theory, then $\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}, \wedge, S^0\big)$ is a monoidal model category. Indeed, for all monomorphisms $i : A \to X$, $j : B \to Y$, the induced map

$$i \widehat{\wedge} j : (A \wedge Y) \coprod_{A \wedge B} (X \wedge B) \to X \wedge Y$$

is clearly also a monomorphism, of which the cofiber is $X/A \wedge Y/B$. If $i \in \mathsf{WE}_\mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{E}_*(X/A) = 0$, whence $\mathcal{E}_*(X/A \wedge Y/B) = 0$, and so $i \widehat{\wedge} j \in \mathsf{WE}_\mathcal{E}$. Since $U : \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+} \to \mathsf{sSet}_*$ is strong monoidal, Proposition A.9 implies that $\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, \otimes, (S^0, \rho_u)\big)$ is also a monoidal model category, as desired. □

4.2. **The $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structure on Comod_{X_+}: proof by right-induction.** In this section we show that for any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, there are cofibrantly generated, $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model structures on both R_X and Comod_{X_+}. The structure on R_X is induced from the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local model structure $(\mathsf{sSet})_\mathcal{E}$. The structure on Comod_{X_+} is then lifted from R_X.

First we recall the generating (acyclic) cofibrations for $(\mathsf{sSet})_\mathcal{E}$. The cofibrations in $(\mathsf{sSet})_\mathcal{E}$ are exactly the monomorphisms and are generated by the set

$$I_\partial = \{i_n : \partial\Delta[n] \to \Delta[n] \mid n \geq 0\}.$$

Fix an infinite cardinal $c_\mathcal{E}$ that is at least equal to the cardinality of $\mathcal{E}_*(pt)$. By [6, 11.3], the acyclic cofibrations are generated by the set $J_\mathcal{E}$ of all monomorphisms $j : A \to B$ such that j is an $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence, and the number of non-degenerate simplices in B is at most $c_\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 4.8. *There is a cofibrantly generated model structure on R_X with cofibrations, weak equivalences, and fibrations determined on the underlying space. In particular, the cofibrations are the monomorphisms, and the weak equivalences are the $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.*

Proof. The model structure on R_X is discussed in Remark 4.4. To see how the generating (acyclic) cofibrations lift from $(\mathsf{sSet})_\mathcal{E}$, note that the category R_X is the

pointed category (in the sense of [12, 1.1.8]) of the over category sSet/X. The cofibrations in sSet/X are generated by the set

$$\mathcal{I}_{/X} = \{(i_n, g) : \partial\Delta[n] \to \Delta[n] \mid g : \Delta[n] \to X, n \geq 0\},$$

with g providing the structure over X. The cofibrations in R_X are generated by the set

$$\mathcal{I}_{X,\mathcal{E}} = \{(i_n \coprod id_X, g) : \partial\Delta[n] \coprod X \to \Delta[n] \coprod X \mid g : \Delta[n] \to X, n \geq 0\},$$

where $g \coprod id_X$ provides the structure over X. Similarly, the acyclic cofibrations are generated by the set

$$\mathcal{J}_{X,\mathcal{E}} = \{(j \coprod id_X, g) : A \coprod X \to B \coprod X \mid g : \Delta[n] \to X, j : A \to B \in J_{\mathcal{E}}\}.$$

See [10] for more details. □

Using the standard lifting theorem, [11, 11.3.2], for cofibrantly generated model structures applied to the adjunction between R_X and Comod_{X_+} in Theorem 3.1, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *There is a cofibrantly generated model structure on Comod_{X_+} with cofibrations the monomorphisms and weak equivalences the $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalenes.*

Proof. Following [11, 11.3.2], the generating cofibrations for the model structure on Comod_{X_+} are the image under the functor $-/X$ of the generators $\mathcal{I}_{X,\mathcal{E}}$ for R_X. Since $(A \coprod X)/X \cong A_+$, the set of generating cofibrations in Comod_{X_+} is the set

$$\mathcal{I}_c = \{\widetilde{(i_n, g)} : \partial\Delta[n]_+ \to \Delta[n]_+ \mid g : \Delta[n] \to X, n \geq 0\}.$$

Here a map $g : B \to X$ induces a comodule structure on B_+ given by

$$(B, g)_+ : B_+ \to (B \times X)_+ \cong B_+ \wedge X_+.$$

Similarly, the set of generating acyclic cofibrations in Comod_{X_+} is the set

$$\mathcal{J}_c = \{\widetilde{(j, g)} : A_+ \to B_+ \mid g : B \to X, j : A \to B \in J_{\mathcal{E}}\}.$$

Note that all of the maps $\widetilde{(j, g)}$ are monomorphisms and $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.

A map f in Comod_{X_+} is defined to be a weak equivalence if $f \star X$ is a weak equivalence ($\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence) in R_X. In other words, since $- \star X$ preserves and reflects $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences by Lemma 3.11, the weak equivalences in Comod_{X_+} are the $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences.

By [11, 11.3.2], to check that the adjunction between R_X and Comod_{X_+} induces a cofibrantly generated model structure on Comod_{X_+}, we must check that every map built from $\mathcal{J}_c$ by pushouts and directed colimits is a weak equivalence. Since all colimits in Comod_{X_+} are created in sSet_*, and the maps in J_c are underlying acyclic cofibrations in $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$, this follows. We must also check that the domains of the generating sets I_c and J_c are small with respect to I_c and J_c, respectively. This follows again since colimits in Comod_{X_+} are created in sSet_*.

Finally, we show that the cofibrations are exactly the monomorphisms. Since the maps in I_c are monomorphisms, it is clear than any I_c-cofibration is a monomorphism. To show the opposite inclusion, let $f : A \to B$ be a monomorphism in Comod_{X_+}. Using the model structure just established, factor f as ip with i an I_c-cofibration and p an acyclic fibration. Next, apply $- \star X$. Since f is a monomorphism, $f \star X$ is a monomorphism by Corollary 3.15 and hence a cofibration in R_X.

Since $-\star X$ preserves acyclic fibrations by definition, $p\star X$ is an acyclic fibration in R_X. Thus, there exists a lift in the following square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\star X & \xrightarrow{i\star X} & Z\star X \\ {\scriptstyle f\star X}\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p\star X} \\ B\star X & \xrightarrow{id} & B\star X \end{array}$$

This shows that $f\star X$ is a retract of $i\star X$. Applying $-/X$, we see that f is a retract of i and hence an I_c-cofibration. Thus, the I_c-cofibrations are exactly the monomorphisms. □

4.3. **Koszul duality.** For any reduced simplicial set X, we use $\mathbb{G}X$, the simplicial monoid given by the Kan loop group, to model ΩX. Let $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X}$ denote the category of pointed $\mathbb{G}X$-spaces, i.e., of pointed simplicial sets endowed with an action of $\mathbb{G}X$ that fixes the basepoint.

Let $\mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet}$ denote the category of *unpointed* simplicial sets endowed with a simplicial $\mathbb{G}X$-action. Thanks to the cofibrant generation of $(\mathsf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Kan}}$ and of $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, as well of $(\mathsf{sSet})_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$ for any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$, it is easy to obtain model category structures $(\mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, $(\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathrm{Kan}}$, $(\mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet})_{\mathcal{E}}$, and $(\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}}$ that are right-induced by the adjunctions

$$\mathsf{sSet} \underset{U}{\overset{-\times\mathbb{G}X}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{sSet}_* \underset{U}{\overset{-\wedge(\mathbb{G}X)_+}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X}\ ,$$

i.e., the fibrations and weak equivalences in $\mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet}$ and $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X}$ are created in sSet and sSet_*, respectively.

In this section we exhibit the Koszul duality between pointed $\mathbb{G}X$-spaces and X_+-comodules via a Quillen equivalence between the respective model categories.

If X is a reduced simplicial set, let $\mathbb{P}X$ denote the twisted cartesian product $X\times_\tau \mathbb{G}X$, where $\tau: X\to\mathbb{G}X$ is the universal twisting function [17]. Note that $\mathbb{P}X$ is a contractible, free $\mathbb{G}X$-space and the quotient by $\mathbb{G}X$ gives a map $p:\mathbb{P}X\to \mathbb{P}X\otimes_{\mathbb{G}X}\{e\}=X$. It follows that $\mathbb{P}X$ is a particularly nice model for the total space $E\mathbb{G}X$ of the classifying bundle of $\mathbb{G}X$, since in general there is only a weak equivalence $E\mathbb{G}X/\mathbb{G}X = B\mathbb{G}X\simeq X$.

Theorem 4.10. *If X is a reduced simplicial set, then there is a equivalence*

$$(\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}} \underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{-\wedge_{(\mathbb{G}X)_+}(\mathbb{P}X)_+}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Proof. The quotient map $p:\mathbb{P}X\to\mathbb{P}X\otimes_{\mathbb{G}X}\{e\}=X$ gives rise to an X_+-comodule structure on $(\mathbb{P}X)_+$. Let $\mathsf{R}^{\mathbb{G}X}_{\mathbb{P}X}=\mathsf{R}_{\mathbb{P}X}(\mathbb{G}X-\mathsf{sSet})$, the category of retractive $\mathbb{G}X$-spaces over $\mathbb{P}X$.

The desired Quillen equivalence arises from the sequence of adjunctions

$$\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X} \underset{\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X,-)}{\overset{\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{R}^{\mathbb{G}X}_{\mathbb{P}X} \underset{p^*\varphi^*}{\overset{-\otimes_{\mathbb{G}X}\{e\}}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{R}_X \underset{-\star X}{\overset{-/X}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Comod}_{X_+},$$

where

- $\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}$ is defined as in Remark 2.10, where $Y \times \mathbb{P}X$ is endowed with the diagonal $\mathbb{G}X$ action, for any $\mathbb{G}X$-space Y;
- for any object (Z, i, r) of $\mathsf{R}^{\mathbb{G}X}_{\mathbb{P}X}$, the basepoint of $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X, Z)$ is the map $i : \mathbb{P}X \to Z$, and the $\mathbb{G}X$-action on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X, Z)$ is the diagonal action;
- the functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}$ takes $\mathbb{G}X$-orbits, and for any object (Z, i, r) of $\mathsf{R}^{\mathbb{G}X}_{\mathbb{P}X}$,

$$(Z, i, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\} = \big(Z \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}, i \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}, r \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}\big);$$

  and
- for any object (Z, i, r) of R_X, the functor $p^*\varphi^*$ first endows X and Z with a trivial $\mathbb{G}X$ action, via restriction of coefficients along $\varphi : \mathbb{G}X \to \{e\}$, then applies pullback along p, i.e., the object underlying $p^*\varphi^*(Z, i, r)$ is the pullback of

$$\mathbb{P}X \xrightarrow{p} \varphi^* X \xleftarrow{r} \varphi^* Z$$

  in $\mathbb{G}X - \mathsf{sSet}$.

We have already shown that $(-/X) \dashv (- \star X)$ is a Quillen equivalence with respect to the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structures constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Next observe that for every object (Z, i, r) in $\mathsf{R}^{\mathbb{G}X}_{\mathbb{P}X}$, Z is a free $\mathbb{G}X$-space, since $\mathbb{P}X$ is a free $\mathbb{G}X$-space. It follows that $(- \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}) \dashv p^*\varphi^*$ is actually an equivalence of categories. Finally, $(\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}) \dashv \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X, -)$ is also a Quillen equivalence with respect to the $\mathcal{E}_*$-local structures, as it lifts the adjunction

$$(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}} \underset{\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X,-)}{\overset{\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} (\mathsf{R}_{\mathbb{P}X})_{\mathcal{E}},$$

which is easily seen to be a Quillen equivalence, since

$$(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}} \underset{\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{P}X,-)}{\overset{-\times\mathbb{P}X}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} (\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}},$$

is a Quillen equivalence. See also [19, §7.2] for another version of the last two steps here.

We now show that for all pointed $\mathbb{G}X$-spaces Y, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\big(\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}\big)/X \cong Y \wedge_{(\mathbb{G}X)_+} (\mathbb{P}X)_+.$$

Consider the following commuting diagram of parallel pairs of morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{*\} \times \mathbb{G}X \times \mathbb{P}X & \rightrightarrows & \{*\} \times \mathbb{P}X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{y_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{y_0}} \\ Y \times \mathbb{G}X \times \mathbb{P}X & \rightrightarrows & Y \times \mathbb{P}X, \end{array}$$

where ι_{y_0} denotes the inclusion determined by the basepoint y_0 of Y, and the parallel arrows are defined in terms of the right action of $\mathbb{G}X$ on Y and of its left action on $\mathbb{P}X$, given by inverting and then multiplying on the right. Taking colimits horizontally and then vertically, we obtain $\big(\mathrm{Ret}_{\mathbb{P}X}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{G}X} \{e\}\big)/X$, while taking colimits vertically then horizontally gives rise to $Y \wedge_{(\mathbb{G}X)_+} (\mathbb{P}X)_+$. □

5. Model category structures on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$

We now apply the stabilization machinery of [13] to obtain a spectral version of the results in the previous section.

Notation 5.1. Let Sp denote the category of symmetric spectra, endowed with the stable model structure [14]. For any simplicial set X, let $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ denote the suspension spectrum of X_+ and $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ the category of $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodules in Sp with respect to the smash product of symmetric spectra.

For the next statement, let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be any generalized reduced homology theory such that every levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of symmetric spectra is a stable equivalence. See also Example 5.3 and Proposition 5.12.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a simplicial set. There is a combinatorial, left proper, spectral model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ that is left-induced by the cofree/forgetful adjunction*

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+} \underset{-\wedge\Sigma^\infty X_+}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}}} \mathsf{Sp}$$

and that stabilizes the $\mathcal{E}_*$-model category structure $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$, for $\mathcal{E}_*$ as above. Moreover, if X is a simplicial monoid, then $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ admits a monoidal structure with respect to which the adjunction above is a Quillen pair of monoidal model categories satisfying the monoid axiom.

We refer the reader to Appendix A for the definitions of left-induced model category structures, as well as of monoidal model categories and the monoid axiom, and for results describing the relations among these notions.

Example 5.3. Both stable homotopy, π^s_*, and integral homology, $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$, satisfy the hypothesis on $\mathcal{E}_*$ in the theorem above. To see this, recall first that for a symmetric spectrum $\mathbf{X}$, $\pi_k\mathbf{X} = \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+n}X_n$. By [21, Lemma 2.2.3], $\pi_k\mathbf{X} \cong \operatorname{colim}_n \pi^s_k X_n$. Thus, a map of symmetric spectra that is a π^s_*-isomorphism in each level induces an isomorphism on π_* and hence is a stable equivalence by [14, Theorem 3.1.11].

On the other hand, if $f : X \to Y$ is an $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-equivalence of simplicial sets, then $\Sigma^2 f$ is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem, and so f is also a π^s_*-equivalence. It follows that if a map of symmetric spectra is a levelwise $\mathcal{H}\mathbb{Z}_*$-equivalence, then it is a levelwise π^s_*-equivalence and hence a stable equivalence.

Our unstable Koszul duality result (Theorem 4.10) gives rise to a stable version as well.

Theorem 5.4. *If X is a reduced simplicial set, then there is a Quillen equivalence*

$$\mathsf{Mod}_{\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}X)_+} \underset{R}{\overset{-\wedge_{\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}X)_+}\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{P}X}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}}} \mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}\ ,$$

where $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ is endowed with the model category structure of Theorem 5.2 and $\mathsf{Mod}_{\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}X)_+}$ with its usual stable model category structure, right induced from Sp.

A significant, immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 is that categories of "algebraic objects" in $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, such as categories of modules over monoids in $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ and of algebras over commutative monoids in $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, admit

model category structures right-induced from $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ [20, Theorem 4.1]. Because of its importance for the study of homotopic Hopf-Galois extensions of ring spectra [9], [18], we are particularly interested in the following case of this general principle.

Notation 5.5. Let Alg denote the category of symmetric ring spectra, i.e., of monoids in $(\mathsf{Sp}, \wedge, \mathbf{S})$, where $\mathbf{S}$ is the sphere spectrum, endowed with the stable model category structure right-induced via the adjunction

$$\mathsf{Sp} \underset{U}{\overset{T}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Alg}\ ,$$

where T is the free monoid functor and U is the forgetful functor [14], [20]. For any simplicial monoid H, let $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ denote the category of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodules in Alg. An object of $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ is a symmetric ring spectrum $\mathbf{R}$ endowed with a coassociative, counital morphism

$$\rho : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} \wedge \Sigma^\infty H_+$$

of symmetric ring spectra. This category is equivalent to the categories of monoids in $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ and of the $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebras in Sp.

Corollary 5.6. *Let H be a simplicial monoid. There is a cofibrantly generated, model category structure on $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ with respect to which the cofree/forgetful adjunction*

$$\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \underset{-\wedge\Sigma^\infty H_+}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Alg}$$

is a Quillen pair.

Thanks to Corollary 5.6, it is now possible to give a rigorous formulation of the notion of the *homotopy coinvariants* of the coaction of $\Sigma^\infty H_+$ on a $\Sigma^\infty H_+$-comodule algebra $(\mathbf{R}, \rho)$, which is essential in the definition of a homotopic Hopf-Galois extension, as originally formulated in [18] and generalized in [9]. If $(\mathbf{R}^f, \rho^f)$ is a fibrant replacement for $(\mathbf{R}, \rho)$ in $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$, then a model for the homotopy coinvariants of $(\mathbf{R}, \rho)$ is the equalizer in $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$

$$(\mathbf{R}, \rho)^{hco\,\Sigma^\infty H_+} = \mathrm{equal}\big(\mathbf{R}^f \underset{\mathbf{R}^f\wedge\eta}{\overset{\rho^f}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbf{R}^f \wedge \Sigma^\infty H_+\big),$$

where $\eta : \mathbf{S} \to \Sigma^\infty H_+$ is the unit of the ring spectrum $\Sigma^\infty H_+$.

We prove Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.4, and Corollary 5.6 in Section 5.2, after having recalled Hovey's stabilization construction from [13] and proved two technical results that we need for the proof of Theorem 5.2 in Section 5.1.

5.1. **The stabilization machine.** We begin by recalling Hovey's construction of the stabilization of certain model categories with respect to nice enough endofunctors. Hovey requires that the category to be stabilized be *cellular* [11, Definition 12.1.1], so that Bousfield localizations exist. Since all objects in a locally presentable category are small, one can show that any combinatorial model category where cofibrations are effective monomorphisms is a cellular model category. In all of the categories that we localize here, the cofibrations are indeed effective monomorphisms.

Definition 5.7. [12, §7,8] Let C and D be left proper, combinatorial model categories such that the cofibrations are effective monomorphisms. Furthermore, assume that C is a monoidal model category, and D is a C-model category with a set of generating cofibrations $\mathcal{I}$ (see Remark 5.9), where $-\otimes-:\mathsf{D}\times\mathsf{C}\to\mathsf{D}$ denotes the tensoring of D over C. Let K be a cofibrant object in C.

The objects of the *category of symmetric K-spectra in* D, denoted $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$, are sequences of pairs $\mathbf{X}=(X_n,\sigma_n)_{n\geq 0}$, where each X_n is an object in D endowed with a left Σ_n-action, each $\sigma_n: X_n\otimes K\to X_{n+1}$ is a Σ_n-equivariant morphism in D, and the composite

$$X_n\otimes K^{\otimes p}\xrightarrow{\sigma_n\otimes K^{\otimes p-1}}X_{n+1}\otimes K^{\otimes p-1}\xrightarrow{\sigma_n\otimes K^{\otimes p-2}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\sigma_{n+p-1}}X_{n+p}$$

is $\Sigma_n\times\Sigma_p$ equivariant for all n and all p. A morphism $\mathbf{f}:\mathbf{X}\to\mathbf{Y}$ of symmetric spectra consists of a sequence $f_n:X_n\to Y_n$ of equivariant morphisms, commuting with the structure maps.

Remark 5.8. Symmetric K-spectra can also be described as modules over a certain commutative monoid in the category of symmetric sequences in D [13, Definition 7.2]. It follows that if D is locally presentable, then so is $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$ [2].

A morphism $\mathbf{f}:\mathbf{X}\to\mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$ is a weak equivalence (respectively, fibration) in the *projective model category structure* if $f_n:X_n\to Y_n$ is a weak equivalence (respectively, fibration) in D for all n.

Let $F_n:\mathsf{D}\to\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$ denote the left adjoint to the evaluation functor

$$\mathrm{Ev}_n:\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)\to\mathsf{D}:\mathbf{X}\mapsto X_n.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}=\{F_{n+1}(X^c\otimes K)\to F_nX^c\mid X\text{ a domain or codomain of a map in }\mathcal{I},n\geq 0\},\tag{5.1}$$

where the superscript c denotes cofibrant replacement, and the morphisms are the transposes of the morphisms

$$X^c\otimes K\to \mathrm{Ev}_{n+1}F_nX^c=\Sigma_{n+1}\times(X^c\otimes K)$$

that pick out the identity component. The left Bousfield localization [11, 15] of the projective model category structure on $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$ with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ is the *stable model category structure*.

Remark 5.9. Although this definition relies on a choice of a set of generating cofibrations, the model structure is independent of this choice by [13, Theorem 8.8].

Notation 5.10. The projective model category structure on $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{D},K)$ is denoted $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mathsf{D},K)$, while the stable model category structure is denote $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{D},K)$.

In the special case where $\mathsf{C}=\mathsf{D}=\mathsf{sSet}_*$, and $K=S^1=\Delta[1]/\partial\Delta[1]$, we often simplify notation considerably and write

$$\mathsf{Sp}=\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}},S^1\big)\qquad\text{and}\qquad\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}=\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}},S^1\big),$$

and

$$\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}}=\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}},S^1\big)\qquad\text{and}\qquad(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}=\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}},S^1\big),$$

where $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$ denotes the usual Kan model category structure on sSet_*, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a generalized reduced homology theory.

Remark 5.11. Recall from Section 4.2 that the set of cofibrant generators for $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the same as the set of cofibrant generators for $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$. It follows from (5.1) that Sp and $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are obtained by left Bousfield localization of $\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$ with respect to exactly the same set of maps.

The following results play an essential role in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 5.12. *If $\mathcal{E}_*$ is a generalized reduced homology theory such that every levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of symmetric spectra is a stable equivalence, then the stable model category structures $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and Sp agree.*

Proof. Using the universal property of localizations, we show below that the identity functors $\mathsf{Sp} \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ are both left Quillen functors. It follows that the cofibrations and weak equivalences agree, and hence that the model category structures agree completely. Note that since the cofibrations agree in $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}}$ and $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$, they also agree in $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$, $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}}$, $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and Sp, so that it is sufficient to show that the identity functors in question preserve weak equivalences. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of maps by which both $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$ are localized to obtain Sp and $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Since the identity functor $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathrm{Kan}} \to (\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$ is left Quillen, the identity functor $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}} \to (\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is also left Quillen. Composing this with stabilization $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}} \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$ gives a left Quillen functor $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}} \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$, which sends maps in $\mathcal{S}$ to weak equivalences in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$, by [13, Theorem 8.8]. Thus, by [11, Definition 3.1.1], the identity functor $\mathsf{Sp} \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is left Quillen.

Next we show that the identity functor $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ is a left Quillen functor. By definition the equivalences in $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$ are levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalences. It follows from the hypothesis on $\mathcal{E}_*$ that the identity functor $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ is left Quillen. Since [13, Theorem 8.8] implies that the identity functor $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ sends maps in $\mathcal{S}$ to weak equivalences in Sp, the identity functor $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ is also left Quillen, by [11, Definition 3.1.1]. □

Proposition 5.13. *The adjunction*

$$\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1) \underset{\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(-\wedge X_+)}{\overset{\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(U)}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(\mathsf{sSet}_*, S^1)$$

left-induces a combinatorial model category structure, $\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{pr,left}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big)$, from $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$, which is Quillen equivalent to $\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{pr}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ denote the cofibrations and weak equivalences, respectively, in $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$. Theorem A.4 and Remark 5.8 together imply that it suffices to show that

$$\big(\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pr}})\big)^{\boxslash} \subset \mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{pr}}) \tag{5.2}$$

in order to prove the existence of the desired left-induced model category structure. By [13, Theorem 8.2], a set of generating cofibrations of $(\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathcal{E}})_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{pr}} = \{F_n\partial\Delta[n]_+ \xrightarrow{F_n(i_n)_+} F_n\Delta[n]_+ \mid n \geq 0\},$$

since $\{\partial\Delta[n]_+ \xrightarrow{(i_n)_+} \Delta[n]_+ \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a set of generating cofibrations for $(\mathsf{sSet}_*)_{\mathcal{E}}$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{pr}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pr}}$, it follows that

$$\big(\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pr}})\big)^{\boxslash} \subset \big(\mathsf{Sp}^{\Sigma}(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{pr}})\big)^{\boxslash}.$$

Recall the set $\mathcal{I}_c$ of cofibrant generators for $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$ from the proof of Theorem 4.9. An easy calculation shows that

$$\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \bigcup_n F_n(\mathcal{I}_c),$$

which, by [13, Theorem 8.2], is the set of cofibrant generators for $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1)$. Every map in $\big(\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{pr}})\big)^{\boxslash}$ is thus an acyclic fibration in $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1)$, and therefore in particular a levelwise weak equivalence. Since the elements of $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(U)^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{pr}})$ are exactly the levelwise weak equivalences, the desired inclusion (5.2) holds.

To see that this left-induced model category structure on $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1)$ is Quillen equivalent to $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big)\big)$, observe first that the weak equivalences in both cases are the levelwise weak equivalences, then apply Lemma A.5. □

5.2. **Stabilization of Comod_{X_+}.** We now have all the tools necessary to prove the desired stabilization result for comodules over X_+. We begin by observing that $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodules in symmetric spectra are the same as symmetric spectra of X_+-comodules.

Proposition 5.14. *The category, of $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodules in $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma\big(\mathsf{sSet}_*, S^1\big)$, denoted $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$, is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1\big)$, the category of symmetric spectra of X_+-comodules.*

Proof. An object of $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1\big)$, is a sequence

$$\big((Y_n, \rho_n), (\sigma_n)\big)_{n\geq 0},$$

where each (Y_n, ρ_n) is an X_+-comodule, equipped with a left Σ_n-action, and each $\sigma_n : (Y_n, \rho_n) \otimes S^1 \to (Y_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1})$ is a Σ_n-equivariant morphism of X_+-comodules, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_n \wedge S^1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} & Y_{n+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n\wedge S^1} & & \\ Y_n \wedge X_+ \wedge S^1 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle Y_n\wedge\tau} & & \\ Y_n \wedge S^1 \wedge X_+ & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n\wedge X_+} & Y_{n+1}\wedge S^1 \end{array}$$

commutes.

On the other hand, for any symmetric spectrum $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_n, \sigma_n)_{n\geq 0}$,

$$\mathbf{Y} \wedge \Sigma^\infty X_+ = \big(Y_n \wedge X_+, (\sigma_n \wedge X_+)(Y_n \wedge \tau)\big),$$

by [14, §2.2]. An object of $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ is a pair $(\mathbf{Y}, \rho)$, where $\rho : \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Y} \wedge \Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a morphism of symmetric spectra, i.e., $\rho = (\rho_n : Y_n \to Y_n \wedge X_+)_{n\geq 0}$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_n \wedge S^1 & & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} & & Y_{n+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n\wedge S^1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{n+1}} \\ Y_n \wedge X_+ \wedge S^1 & \xrightarrow{Y_n\wedge\tau} & Y_n \wedge S^1 \wedge X_+ & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n\wedge X_+} & Y_{n+1}\wedge X_+ \end{array}$$

commutes. It is therefore clear that $\Sigma^\infty X_+$-comodules in symmetric spectra are exactly symmetric spectra of X_+-comodules. □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be a generalized reduced homology theory such that every levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of symmetric spectra is a stable equivalence. Since $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$ is a left proper, combinatorial, simplicial model category in which the cofibrations are effective monomorphisms, and all simplicial sets are cofibrant, we can construct the stabilization

$$\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, S^1\big),$$

which is a $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}, S^1\big)$-model category by [13, Theorem 8.11]. Moreover, by [13, Theorem 9.3], the simplicial Quillen adjunction

$$(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E} \underset{-\wedge X_+}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} (\mathsf{sSet}_*)_\mathcal{E}$$

induces a Quillen adjunction of Sp-model categories

$$\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, S^1\big) \underset{\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(-\wedge X_+)}{\overset{\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Sp}_\mathcal{E} = \mathsf{Sp}\ ,$$

where $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U$ applies U levelwise, and similarly for $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma(-\wedge X_+)$. Applying the isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+} \cong \mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+}, S^1\big)$$

from Proposition 5.14, we obtain an induced model category structure,

$$(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{st},\mathcal{E}} \cong \mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, S^1\big),$$

and a Quillen pair of Sp-categories

$$(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{st},\mathcal{E}} \underset{-\wedge\Sigma^\infty X_+}{\overset{\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Sp}. \tag{5.3}$$

It follows from [13, Definition 8.7] that $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U(\mathcal{S}_{c,\mathcal{E}}) \subset \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{c,\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ denote the sets of maps with respect to which the projective model category structures on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}$ (that is, $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}, S^1\big)$) and $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ are localized, respectively. Since $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U$ also preserves levelwise weak equivalences, it sends all weak equivalences in $(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{st},\mathcal{E}}$ to weak equivalences in Sp.

By Proposition 5.13, Proposition A.6 and [3, Lemma 2.23], the adjunction $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U \dashv (-\wedge\Sigma^\infty X_+)$ left-induces a Sp-model category structure $(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{left}}$ from the stable model category structure on symmetric spectra, Sp, which is independent of $\mathcal{E}$, as $\mathsf{Sp} = \mathsf{Sp}_\mathcal{E}$. By Lemma A.5, we therefore have a sequence of Quillen pairs of Sp-categories

$$(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{st},\mathcal{E}} \underset{\mathrm{Id}}{\overset{\mathrm{Id}}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{left}} \underset{-\wedge\Sigma^\infty X_+}{\overset{\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{Sp},$$

where the first pair is actually a Quillen equivalence. We are therefore justified in thinking of $(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{left}}$ as the stabilization of $(\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_\mathcal{E}$, for any generalized reduced homology theory $\mathcal{E}_*$ satisfying the condition in the statement of the theorem.

If X is a simplicial monoid, then $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a symmetric ring spectrum, and the monoidal structure $(\mathsf{Sp}, \wedge, \mathbf{S})$ lifts to a monoidal structure $\big(\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+}, \widetilde{\wedge}, (\mathbf{S}, \rho_u)\big)$ (cf. Lemma 2.7), so that $U : \mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ is a strong monoidal functor. Proposition A.9 now implies that $\big((\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{left}}, \tilde{\wedge}, (\mathbf{S}, \rho_u)\big)$ is a monoidal model category satisfying the monoid axiom. □

Next we turn to proving our stabilized Koszul duality statement.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be any generalized reduced homology theory such that every levelwise $\mathcal{E}_*$-equivalence of symmetric spectra is a stable equivalence. By [13, Theorem 9.3] and Theorem 5.2, since $-\wedge_{(\mathbb{G}X)_+}(\mathbb{P}X)_+$ is clearly a simplicial functor, the Quillen equivalence

$$(\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}} \underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{-\wedge_{(\mathbb{G}X)_+}(\mathbb{P}X)_+}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{X_+})_{\mathcal{E}}$$

of Theorem 4.10 induces a Quillen equivalence

$$\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big) \underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{-\wedge_{\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}X)_+}\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{P}X)_+}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}} (\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty X_+})_{\mathrm{left}} \ .$$

To conclude, we observe that, just as in the proof of Proposition 5.12, the stabilized model category structure $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big)$ agrees with the usual stable model category structure $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathrm{Kan}}, S^1\big)$ and that there is an obvious isomorphism of model categories $\mathsf{Sp}^\Sigma_{\mathrm{st}}\big((\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{G}X})_{\mathcal{E}}, S^1\big) \cong \mathsf{Mod}_{\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}X)_+}$. □

Proof of Corollary 5.6. Since the monoid axiom holds in the left-induced model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ by Theorem 5.2, we can apply [20, Theorem 4.1(3)] and conclude that $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$ admits a cofibrantly generated model category structure right-induced by the adjunction

$$\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \underset{U}{\overset{T}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}}} \mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \ ,$$

where T denotes the free associative monoid functor.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ denote the sets of generating cofibrations and generating acyclic cofibrations, respectively, for the left-induced model category structure on $\mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$. To see that the cofree/forgetful adjunction

$$\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \underset{-\wedge\Sigma^\infty H_+}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}}} \mathsf{Alg}$$

is also a Quillen pair, where Alg is equipped with the model category structure right-induced by the adjunction

$$\mathsf{Sp} \underset{U}{\overset{T}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\perp}}}} \mathsf{Alg} \ ,$$

recall that $T(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}})$ and $T(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}})$ generate the cofibrations and the acyclic cofibrations for the right-induced model category structure on $\mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+}$. It therefore suffices to

show that the elements of $UT(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}})$ and $UT(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}})$ are cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations, respectively, in Alg. This is obvious, however, since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathsf{Alg}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \\ {\scriptstyle U}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U} \\ \mathsf{Sp} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathsf{Alg} \end{array}$$

commutes, and $U : \mathsf{Comod}_{\Sigma^\infty H_+} \to \mathsf{Sp}$ and $T : \mathsf{Sp} \to \mathsf{Alg}$ are both left Quillen. □

Appendix A. Left-induced model category structures

In this section we provide a brief overview of left-induced model category structures, as developed in [3]. We also prove that left-induced model structures behave well with respect to both left Bousfield localization and monoidal structure, which is useful to us in Section 5.

Notation A.1. Let f and g be morphisms in a category C. If for every commutative diagram in C

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{a} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \nearrow^{c} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow[b]{} & \cdot \end{array}$$

the dotted lift c exists, i.e., $gc = b$ and $cf = a$, then we write $f \boxslash g$.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of morphisms in a category C, then

$$^{\boxslash}\mathcal{X} = \{f \in \operatorname{Mor} C \mid f \boxslash x \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}^{\boxslash} = \{f \in \operatorname{Mor} C \mid x \boxslash f \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Definition A.2. Let $\mathsf{C} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{M}$ be an adjoint pair of functors, where $(\mathsf{M}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ is a model category, and C is a bicomplete category. If the triple of classes of morphisms in C

$$\Big(\big(U^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W})\big)^{\boxslash}, U^{-1}(\mathcal{C}), U^{-1}(\mathcal{W})\Big)$$

satisfies the axioms of a model category, then it is a *left-induced model structure* on C.

Remark A.3. If C admits a model structure left-induced from that of M via an adjunction as in the definition above, then $U \dashv F$ is a Quillen pair with respect to the left-induced model structure on C and the given model structure on M.

The theorem below is a special case of [3, Theorem 2.21], with as essential input [16, Theorem 3.2]. It follows by an easy adjunction argument from [3, Theorem 2.21], taking the class of morphisms labelled there as $\mathcal{Z}$ to be the class of acyclic fibrations in M.

Recall that a cofibrantly generated model structure on a locally presentable category M is called *combinatorial*.

Theorem A.4. *Let* $\mathsf{C} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M}$ *be an adjoint pair of functors, where* C *is a locally presentable category, and* $(\mathsf{M}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ *is a combinatorial model category. If*

$$\left(U^{-1}\mathcal{C}\right)^{\boxslash} \subset U^{-1}\mathcal{W},$$

then the left-induced model structure on C *exists and is cofibrantly generated.*

Left-induced model category structures satisfy the following sort of universal property.

Lemma A.5. *Let* $\mathsf{N} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M}$ *be a Quillen adjunction between two model categories such that* U *sends all weak equivalences in* N *to weak equivalences in* M*. If the adjunction* $U \dashv F$ *left-induces a model category stucture* N_{left}*, then there is a sequence of Quillen pairs*

$$\mathsf{N} \underset{Id}{\overset{Id}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{N}_{\text{left}} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M},$$

where the first pair is actually a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{M}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{N}}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{left}}$ denote the three classes of cofibrations under consideration. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{N}}$, then $Uf \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{M}}$, since U is left Quillen, and therefore $f \in U^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{M}}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{left}}$. A similar argument shows that a weak equivalence in the original model category structure on N is also a weak equivalence in the left-induced model category structure, since U preserves weak equivalences. It follows that $\mathrm{Id} : \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{N}_{\text{left}}$ is a left Quillen functor and therefore a Quillen equivalence. □

Next we consider the interaction between left-induced model category structures and left Bousfield localization. Given a combinatorial model structure on M, denote the left localized model category structure on M with respect to a set $\mathcal{S}$ of morphisms by $(L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathsf{M}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}})$ [11, 15]. By definition, the cofibrations in $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathsf{M}$ agree with the cofibrations in M, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{C}$, and the class of weak equivalences in $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathsf{M}$ contains both $\mathcal{S}$ and the class of weak equivalences in M, i.e., $\mathcal{W} \cup \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Proposition A.6. *Let* $\mathsf{C} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M}$ *be an adjoint pair of functors between combinatorial model categories, where the model structure on* C *is left-induced from* M *via* U*. For any set of morphisms* $\mathcal{S}$ *in* M*, there is a model structure on* C *that is left-induced from* $L_{\mathcal{S}}M$ *via* U*.*

Proof. Apply Theorem A.4 to the adjunction

$$\mathsf{C} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\rightleftarrows}} L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathsf{M}\,.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\left(U^{-1}\mathcal{C}\right)^{\boxslash} \subset U^{-1}\mathcal{W}$, it follows that

$$\left(U^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{\boxslash} \subset U^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

□

Finally we show that left-induction interacts well with monoidal structures, in the sense of the following definition.

Definition A.7. [20] A model category M that is also endowed with the structure of a closed, symmetric monoidal category $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ is a *monoidal model category* if the axioms below hold.

(1) For all cofibrations $i : A \to X$, $j : B \to Y$, the induced map
$$i \widehat{\otimes} j : (A \otimes Y) \coprod_{A \otimes B} (X \otimes B) \to X \otimes Y$$
is a cofibration, which is a weak equivalence if i or j is.

(2) If $I^c \to I$ is a cofibrant replacement for the unit I, then
$$I^c \otimes X \to I \otimes X \cong X$$
is a weak equivalence for all cofibrant X.

The importance of this definition resides in the fact that the homotopy category of a monoidal model category inherits a natural monoidal structure [12, 4.3.2].

Algebraic structures in monoidal model categories behave particularly well homotopically when the following axiom holds as well. Recall that for any class $\mathcal{X}$ of maps in a category M, the class $\mathcal{X}$-cell consists of morphisms built up by transfinite composition of sequences of morphisms obtained by pushing out morphisms in $\mathcal{X}$ along arbitrary morphisms in M.

Definition A.8. [20] A monoidal model category $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ *satisfies the monoid axiom* if
$$\big((\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathsf{M}\big)\text{-cell} \subset \mathcal{W}.$$

Proposition A.9. *Let $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ be a monoidal model category. Let*
$$\mathsf{C} \underset{F}{\overset{U}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathsf{M}$$
be an adjoint pair of functors between combinatorial model categories, where the model structure on C is left-induced from M via U. If there is a monoidal structure $(\mathsf{C}, \boxtimes, J)$ with respect to which U is strong monoidal, then $(\mathsf{C}, \boxtimes, J)$ is a monoidal model category with respect to the the left-induced model category structure, and satisfies the monoid axiom if $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ does.

Proof. Let $i : A \to X$ and $j : B \to Y$ be cofibrations in the left-induced model category structure on C. Since U is left Quillen, both Ui and Uj are cofibrations in M, whence
$$U\Big((A \boxtimes Y) \coprod_{A \boxtimes B} (X \boxtimes B)\Big) \cong (UA \otimes UY) \coprod_{UA \otimes UB} (UX \otimes UB) \to UX \otimes UY \cong U(X \boxtimes Y)$$
is a cofibration in M, which is a weak equivalence if Ui or Uj is, since $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ is a monoidal model category. Note that the isomorphisms above follow from the fact that U commutes with colimits and is strong monoidal. By definition of the left-induced model structure, we conclude that
$$i \widehat{\boxtimes} j : (A \boxtimes Y) \coprod_{A \boxtimes B} (X \boxtimes B) \to X \boxtimes Y$$
is a cofibration, which is a weak equivalence if i or j is.

Now let $J^c \xrightarrow{\simeq} J$ be a cofibrant replacement of the unit in C. Then

$$U(J^c) \xrightarrow{\simeq} UJ \cong I$$

is a cofibrant replacement in M, since U is left Quillen and strong monoidal. It follows that for any cofibrant object C in C,

$$U(J^c \boxtimes C) \cong U(J^c) \otimes U(C) \xrightarrow{\simeq} I \otimes U(C) \cong U(C)$$

is a weak equivalence in M, since $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$ is a monoidal model category. By definition of the left-induced model category structure, we conclude that

$$J^c \boxtimes C \xrightarrow{\simeq} C,$$

is a weak equivalence in C and thus that $(\mathsf{C}, \boxtimes, J)$ is indeed a monoidal model category.

Suppose finally that the monoid axiom holds in $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, I)$. Because U preserves pushouts and compositions of sequences, as well as acyclic cofibrations since it is left Quillen, it follows that

$$U\Big(\big((\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{C}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{C}}) \otimes \mathsf{C}\big)\text{-cell}\Big) \subset \big((\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{M}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{M}}) \otimes \mathsf{M}\big)\text{-cell} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{M}},$$

and so, by definition of the left-induced model category structure

$$\big((\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{C}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{C}}) \otimes \mathsf{C}\big)\text{-cell} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{C}},$$

i.e., the monoid axiom holds in $(\mathsf{C}, \boxtimes, J)$. □

References

1. Jiří Adámek, *Colimits of algebras revisited*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **17** (1977), no. 3, 433–450. MR 0470020 (57 #9792)
2. Jiří Adámek and Jiří Rosický, *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 189, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR 1294136 (95j:18001)
3. Marzieh Bayeh, Kathryn Hess, Varvara Karpova, Magdalena Kedziorek, Emily Riehl, and Brooke Shipley, *Left-induced model category structures on diagram categories*, available on the arXiv, 2014.
4. Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Mandell, *Derived Koszul duality and involutions in the algebraic K-theory of spaces*, J. Topol. **4** (2011), no. 2, 327–342. MR 2805994 (2012g:19005)
5. Francis Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 51, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994, Categories and structures. MR 1313497 (96g:18001b)
6. A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, Topology **14** (1975), 133–150. MR 0380779 (52 #1676)
7. Eduardo Dubuc, *Adjoint triangles*, Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar, II, Springer, Berlin, 1968, pp. 69–91. MR 0233864 (38 #2185)
8. Daniel Dugger and Brooke Shipley, *K-theory and derived equivalences*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 3, 587–617. MR 2085176 (2005e:19005)
9. Kathryn Hess, *Homotopic Hopf-Galois extensions: foundations and examples*, New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry (BIRS 2008), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 16, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2009, pp. 79–132. MR 2544387 (2010j:55010)
10. Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Overcategories and undercategories of model categories*, http://www-math.mit.edu/~psh/undercat.pdf.
11. ———, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR MR1944041 (2003j:18018)
12. Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1650134 (99h:55031)
13. ———, *Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **165** (2001), no. 1, 63–127. MR 1860878 (2002j:55006)

14. Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith, *Symmetric spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 1, 149–208. MR 1695653 (2000h:55016)
15. Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659 (2010j:18001)
16. Michael Makkai and Jiří Rosický, *Cellular categories*, available on the arXiv., 2013.
17. J. Peter May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 11, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967. MR 0222892 (36 #5942)
18. John Rognes, *Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. Stably dualizable groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (2008), no. 898, viii+137. MR 2387923 (2009c:55007)
19. Stefan Schwede, *Stable homotopy of algebraic theories*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 1, 1–41. MR 1791267 (2002d:55026)
20. Stefan Schwede and Brooke E. Shipley, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 2, 491–511. MR 1734325 (2001c:18006)
21. Brooke Shipley, *Symmetric spectra and topological Hochschild homology*, K-Theory **19** (2000), no. 2, 155–183. MR 1740756 (2001h:55010)
22. Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1126, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 318–419. MR 802796 (86m:18011)

MATHGEOM, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail address: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 508 SEO m/c 249, 851 S. Morgan Street, Chicago, IL, 60607-7045, USA

E-mail address: bshipley@math.uic.edu